

Honeywell 101 Columbia Rd Morristown, NJ 07962

February 4, 2015

Mr. David Doyle, Case Manager New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Division of Responsible Party Site Remediation 401 East State Street, Mail Code 401-06 Trenton, NJ 08625-0420

RE: Post-Treatment Sampling Results Report Study Area 5 – Site 079 Route 440 Vehicle Corp. Jersey City, Hudson County, NJ NJDEP PI# G000008706

Dear Mr. Doyle:

Honeywell is transmitting one (1) hard copy and three (3) electronic copies of the enclosed Post-Treatment Sampling Results Report for Site 079 Route 440 Vehicle Corp. (Site).

The enclosed report addresses post-remediation monitoring requirements in accordance with the Remedial Action Report (RAR) and Confirmatory Sampling Work Plan (Work Plan) dated September 2011. The RAR documented remedial actions completed at the Site during 2010 including in-situ treatment of hexavalent chromium impacted soils, focused removal of chromium-impacted soils at one location, and implementation of engineering controls (capping) and institutional controls (deed notice). The Work Plan specified post-treatment sampling including soil sampling within the in-situ treatment area and groundwater sampling of shallow monitoring wells.

The remedial actions are also subject to the Consent Decree Regarding Sites 79 and 153 South (Consent Decree). The in-situ treatment activities address requirements of Paragraph 57 of the Consent Decree and the treatment protocol included as Exhibit C of the Consent Decree. The Work Plan was approved by Plaintiffs in a letter dated October 26, 2011 and by the NJDEP on February 21, 2012.

The enclosed report presents results of the post-remediation sampling conducted in accordance with the approved Work Plan. Overall, treatment resulted in the reduction of hexavalent chromium concentrations, as shown in particular at sampling points for which prior data existed, but did not achieve reduction to the NJDEP soil policy guideline of 20 mg/kg at every sampling point. Groundwater sampling results indicate that hexavalent chromium was not detected and total chromium results were non-detect or less than 10 micrograms per liter (μ g/L), well below the NJDEP Groundwater Quality Standard of 70 μ g/L.

While the 20 mg/kg soil criterion may not have been achieved at every sampling point, the overall remedial action was successful as it was effective in further reducing soil concentrations. Moreover, the overall remedial action, consisting of the capping remedy in conjunction with the treatment, has been and continues to be fully protective since its implementation in 2010.

As indicated in the enclosed report, no further post-remediation soil or groundwater sampling is recommended, based on the remedial actions completed and post-treatment sampling results. The existing engineering controls and deed notice will remain in place. Post-remediation cap inspections, submittal of remedial action protectiveness certification biennial reports and other applicable

February 4, 2015 Mr. David Doyle - New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Post-Treatment Sampling Results Report Study Area 5 – Site 079 Route 440 Vehicle Corp. Page 2 of 2

requirements will continue under the existing Deed Notice, Remedial Action Soil Permit, and Long-Term Monitoring Plan.

Honeywell is submitting the enclosed document for NJDEP review and approval with respect to post-treatment sampling requirements and above-referenced recommendations.

If you have any questions, please call me at 973-455-3302.

Sincerely,

maria Karris

Maria Kaouris Remediation Manager

Enclosure: Post-Treatment Sampling Results Report – Site 079 Route 440 Vehicle Corp. (1 hard copy and 3 electronic copies)

Joe Clifford - Amec Foster Wheeler (electronic copy) cc: Michael Daneker – Arnold & Porter LLP Jeremy Karpatkin – Arnold & Porter LLP (electronic copy) Robert Ciasulli – Bob Ciasulli Auto Group (electronic copy) Dr. Bruce Bell – Carpenter Environmental Associates, Inc. (electronic copy) Kim Hosea - Carpenter Environmental Associates, Inc. Dr. Benjamin Ross - Disposal Safety, Inc. Tom Byrne – Honeywell (electronic copy) William Hague – Honeywell (electronic copy) John Morris – Honeywell (electronic copy) Thomas Cozzi – NJDEP (electronic copy) Alicia Clark Alcorn - Terris, Pravlik & Millian, LLP Kathleen Millian – Terris, Pravlik & Millian, LLP (electronic copy) Carolyn Smith-Pravlik – Terris, Pravlik & Millian, LLP (electronic copy) Bruce Terris – Terris, Pravlik & Millian, LLP (electronic copy) Resa Drasin - Woehling & Freeman Robert Woehling – Woehling & Freeman (electronic copy)

POST-TREATMENT SAMPLING RESULTS REPORT

HUDSON COUNTY CHROMATE SITE 079 ROUTE 440 VEHICLE CORP. JERSEY CITY, NEW JERSEY NJDEP PI#G000008706

Prepared for



101 Columbia Road Morristown, New Jersey 07962

Prepared by Amec Foster Wheeler Environment & Infrastructure, Inc. 200 American Metro Boulevard, Suite 113 Hamilton, New Jersey 08619



FEBRUARY 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTI	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Purpose and Scope	1
	1.2	Report Organization	2
2.0	SITE	E BACKGROUND	3
	2.1	Site Location and Description	3
	2.2	In-Situ Treatment Program Summary	4
3.0	POS'	T-TREATMENT SAMPLING FIELD ACTIVITIES	5
	3.1	Field Mobilization	5
	3.2	Soil Borings and Sampling	5
	3.3	Groundwater Sampling	6
4.0	POS'	T-TREATMENT SAMPLING RESULTS	7
	4.1	Soil Sampling Results	7
	4.2	Groundwater Sampling Results	7
	4.3	Data Usability	
5.0	FINI	DINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	10
6.0	REF	ERENCES	12
7.0	LIST	OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	13

TABLES

Post-Treatment Sampling Program
Post-Treatment Soil Sample Results
Comparison of Co-Located RI and Post Treatment Sample Results
Groundwater Sample Results

FIGURES

Figure 1	Site Location Map
Figure 2	Post-Treatment and Remedial Investigation Soil Sampling Results
Figure 3	Historical Groundwater Sampling Results
Figure 4	Geochemical Conditions and Cr(VI) Concentrations
Figure 5	Geochemical Conditions, Cr(VI) Concentrations and Residual Sulfide

Honeywell

APPENDICES

- Appendix A Relevant Correspondence
- Appendix B Soil Boring Logs
- Appendix C Groundwater Sampling Field Logs
- Appendix D Laboratory Data/Electronic Deliverables (compact disk)
- Appendix E Data Validation Reports (compact disk)

Π

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

This Post-Treatment Sampling Results Report (Report) was prepared by Amec Foster Wheeler Environment & Infrastructure, Inc. (Amec Foster Wheeler) on behalf of Honeywell to address post-remediation monitoring requirements for Site 079 Route 440 Vehicle Corp. in Jersey City, New Jersey (Site).

Remedial actions were completed during 2010 and consisted of in-situ treatment of hexavalent chromium impacted soils by direct injection of calcium polysulfide and focused removal of isolated impacted soils. The remedial actions were documented in a Remedial Action Report (RAR) and Confirmatory Sampling Work Plan dated September 2011 (Amec, 2011). The RAR presented the results of the remedial actions and contained a Post-Remediation Monitoring Plan for soil and groundwater sampling in the area where in-situ treatment of hexavalent chromium impacted soils was performed. The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) approved the RAR and Confirmatory Sampling Work Plan (Work Plan) in a letter dated February 21, 2012 (**Appendix A**). (NJDEP approved a remedy based on containment without treatment). This report presents results of the postremediation sampling conducted in accordance with the approved Work Plan.

In addition to the approved Work Plan, remedial actions are governed by an Administrative Consent Order between Honeywell (formerly Allied Signal, Inc.) and the NJDEP dated June 17, 1993 (as modified by the Consent Judgment between the NJDEP et al. and Honeywell et al., dated September 7, 2011), the New Jersey Technical Requirements for Site Remediation (N.J.A.C. 7:26E), the NJDEP's Chromium Policy Directive (Memorandum dated February 8, 2007), and the Consent Decree Regarding Sites 079 and 153 South between the Hackensack Riverkeeper Inc. (Riverkeeper or Plaintiffs), Honeywell, the Bayonne Municipal Utilities Authority, and Robert G. Ciasulli dated January 21, 2010 (Consent Decree). The insitu treatment activities address requirements of Paragraph 57 of the Consent Decree and the treatment protocol included as Exhibit C of the Consent Decree.

The Work Plan was approved by Plaintiffs in a letter dated October 26, 2011 and by the NJDEP on February 21, 2012.

1.2 REPORT ORGANIZATION

This document organized into the following sections:

- *Site Background*. This section contains information on Site location and summary of the in-situ treatment work completed in 2010.
- *Post-Treatment Sampling Field Activities*. This section presents a summary of the post-treatment field sampling activities.
- *Post-Treatment Sampling Results*. This section presents results of the post-treatment sampling program.
- *Findings/Recommendations*. This section presents a summary of findings and recommendations.
- *References.* This section presents a list of references used in this report.
- *List of Acronyms and Abbreviations*. This section contains a list of acronyms and abbreviations used in this report.

2.0 SITE BACKGROUND

2.1 SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

Site 079 (Route 440 Vehicle Corp.) is located at 540 Route 440 North in Jersey City, New Jersey. A Site location map is included as **Figure 1**.

The Site is currently occupied by a Honda automobile dealership facility known as Metro Honda. The Site property consists of two separate lots:

- Block 22001, Lot 4 (formerly Block 1291, Lot 76): the main car dealership facility including one building and vehicle parking area between Route 440 and the dealership building (front parking lot) and a vehicle parking area between the dealership building and Martorano Way (rear parking lot).
- Block 22001, Lot 3 (formerly Block 1292, Lot 56): vehicle storage lot on the east side of Mortorano Way.

Remediation of hexavalent chromium contaminated soils was conducted in 2010 in accordance with the NJDEP-approved Remedial Action Work Plan (RAWP). The insitu treatment was conducted within the front parking lot area between the car dealership building and Route 440, which comprises an area of approximately 18,000 square feet (0.4 acres) within Block 22001, Lot 4. The NJDEP issued a Remedial Action Soil Permit on May 4, 2012 and a No Further Action (NFA) approval letter dated May 7, 2012.

As part of the remedial actions, a Deed Notice was recorded on April 29, 2010 to address hexavalent chromium in soils exceeding the NJDEP soil policy guideline of 20 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) beneath the pavement in the area between the car dealership building and Route 440. A new Deed Notice was recorded on June 25, 2013 which reflects current block and lot information, current NJDEP model deed notice format, and the completed remedial actions. The asphalt pavement serves as the engineering control (cap) in accordance with the NJDEP approved RAWP and the Consent Decree. The Deed Notice restricts land use within the capped area to commercial, retail, or open space, including continued use as an automobile dealership.

2.2 IN-SITU TREATMENT PROGRAM SUMMARY

The In-Situ Treatment Program (ITP) was completed at the Site during October 2010 in accordance with the following documents:

- In-Situ Treatment Protocol (Exhibit C of the Consent Decree).
- Remedial Action Work Plan dated July 2009, approved by the NJDEP on September 30, 2010.
- NJDEP Discharge to Groundwater Permit Request and In-Situ Chemical Reduction Injection Treatment Program Field Implementation Work Plan dated July 2010, approved by the NJDEP on July 28, 2010.

The ITP field work included injection of calcium polysulfide (CAPS) solution during two weekend work cycles (from Saturday evening through Monday morning). The overall treatment program included 56 injection points and injection of a total of 33,000 gallons of CAPS solution (or 16,000 gallons of undiluted 29% CAPS). Locations of injection points are shown on **Figure 2**. For details and documentation regarding the ITP field work, refer to the September 2011 RAR (Amec, 2011).

Following completion of the ITP field work, the entire parking lot area between the car dealership building and Route 440 was milled and resurfaced with new asphalt pavement.

3.0 POST-TREATMENT SAMPLING FIELD ACTIVITIES

This section describes the post-treatment sampling field activities including field mobilization, soil borings and sampling, and groundwater sampling. In accordance with the timeframe prescribed in the RAR, field work was completed during July and August 2014. The post-treatment sampling program is presented on **Table 1**.

3.1 FIELD MOBILIZATION

Pre-sampling field mobilization activities included utility mark-out and notification to the NJDEP regarding disturbance to the engineering control (pavement cap) in accordance with Deed Notice requirements.

Prior to completion of soil borings, underground utilities were marked out using the public utility mark-out system (New Jersey One-Call). As part of the utility mark-out task, a geophysical survey was conducted by TPI Environmental on July 23, 2014, to verify locations of underground utilities and/or obstructions in the area of targeted soil boring locations. The utility mark-out and geophysical survey included the use of ground penetrating radar at each soil boring location, review of utility mark-outs and meeting with the site facility manager to check locations of underground utilities.

Notification of the disturbance to the engineering control was made to the NJDEP hotline on August 18, 2014 by Amec Foster Wheeler on behalf of Honeywell (NJDEP incident number 14-08-18-1002-46). In accordance with the deed notice, documentation regarding disturbance and restoration of the engineering control will be included with the next Remedial Action Protectiveness / Biennial Certification Report to be submitted by May 4, 2016 in accordance with the schedule in the Remedial Action Soil Permit.

3.2 SOIL BORINGS AND SAMPLING

The scope of work for post-treatment soil sampling included 22 soil borings, including 12 borings specified in Exhibit C of the Consent Decree (Outline for In-Situ Treatment of Chromium Impacted Soils) and 10 borings added as requested by Plaintiffs to provide additional confirmation at areas where a lower injection solution dilution rate was used and in the area along the 138 kilovolt underground transmission line. Soil borings were completed from August 18 through August 21, 2014 by TPI Environmental. All borings were located by Global Positioning System equipment prior to sampling. Each boring was advanced to 9 feet below grade and did not penetrate the meadow mat. Soil sampling included collection of discrete samples (6inch intervals) at 1-foot depth intervals between 3 feet and 9 feet below ground surface (bgs), corresponding to the treatment interval. Soil boring logs are provided in **Appendix B**.

A total of 132 samples were collected from 22 soil boring locations. Soil samples were submitted to Accutest Laboratories of Dayton, New Jersey for analysis of hexavalent chromium and sulfide. The sulfide data was collected to evaluate potential hexavalent chromium data qualification or rejection and determine whether reducing conditions were naturally occurring or attributable to persistence of the injected CAPS.

3.3 GROUNDWATER SAMPLING

In accordance with the RAR and Work Plan, post-injection groundwater samples were collected from groundwater monitoring wells 079-MW-001 and 079-MW-A02 on July 24, 2014. Groundwater field sampling logs are provided in **Appendix C**. The samples were collected using low-flow purging/sampling methods and submitted to Accutest Laboratories of Dayton, New Jersey for analysis of filtered and unfiltered total chromium and hexavalent chromium.

Honeywell

4.0 POST-TREATMENT SAMPLING RESULTS

4.1 SOIL SAMPLING RESULTS

Post-treatment soil sample results are presented on **Table 2** and **Figure 2**. Concentrations of hexavalent chromium were below the NJDEP soil criteria of 20 mg/kg at 5 of the 22 soil boring locations. Of the remaining 17 locations, most of the hexavalent chromium concentrations ranged from 20 mg/kg to 150 mg/kg. Samples from two soil boring locations had results greater than 150 mg/kg: 079-SB-218 (256 mg/kg at 5-6 feet bgs) and 079-SB-219 (3,580 mg/kg at 6-7 feet bgs; 4,150 mg/kg at 7-8 feet bgs). (It is evident from the data that these detections represent a limited, isolated volume of higher strength material. The residual reductant left behind by treatment coupled with the measured site-wide ambient reductive conditions will continue to convert hexavalent chromium to trivalent chromium and mitigate the potential for migration.)

Ten of the 22 soil borings were co-located with previous remedial investigation (RI) borings. Previous RI soil sample results are also shown for reference on **Figure 2**. Comparison of hexavalent chromium concentrations in co-located samples (38 samples total) and percent reduction information are presented in **Table 3**.

Of the 10 soil borings co-located with previous RI borings, hexavalent chromium reductions were observed in the majority of samples with a wide range of percent reduction (less than 10% to greater than 90% reduction). Twenty-one of the 38 co-located samples had greater than 50% reduction in hexavalent chromium concentrations.

Geochemical data (Eh and pH) collected with the samples along with hexavalent chromium concentrations and residual sulfide was plotted for evaluation of geochemical conditions, and is presented on **Figures 4 and 5**. Refer to Section 5 for further data evaluation and summary of findings.

4.2 GROUNDWATER SAMPLING RESULTS

Post-treatment groundwater sampling results are presented on **Table 4** and shown on **Figure 3**. Groundwater sampling results indicate that hexavalent chromium was not detected. Total chromium results were non-detect or less than 10 micrograms per liter (µg/L), well below the NJDEP Groundwater Quality Standard of 70 µg/L. Historical groundwater sampling results are included for reference on **Figure 3.** Groundwater field measurements indicate neutral pH (6.8 to 7.5), negative redox levels (-189 to -382 millivolts [mV]), and very low to no dissolved oxygen; these data indicate reducing conditions within the shallow fill zone.

4.3 DATA USABILITY

Laboratory analytical data was validated to document compliance with quality assurance/quality control requirements for the selected analytical methods. Data validation was conducted in accordance with NJDEP protocols by Validata, LLC for 100% of the samples analyzed for total chromium and hexavalent chromium using the following guidance documents:

- NJDEP, 2002. Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) entitled Quality Assurance Data Validation of Analytical Deliverables for Inorganics (based on United States Environmental Protection Agency [EPA] SW-846 Methods), SOP No. 5.A.16. Trenton, New Jersey.
- NJDEP, 2001. Standard Operating Procedure for the Completion of the Data Validation Report Forms and the Preparation of the Final Data Validation Report, SOP No. 5.A.15, Trenton, New Jersey.
- NJDEP, 2005. Standard Operating Procedure for Analytical Data Validation of Hexavalent Chromium, SOP No. 5.A.10, Revision 2, Trenton, New Jersey.
- NJDEP, 2001. Standard Operating Procedure for the Completion of the Hexavalent Chromium Data Validation Report Forms and the Preparation of the Final Data Validation Report, SOP No. 5.A.09 Trenton, New Jersey.

Laboratory data reports and electronic data deliverables are provided on compact disk in **Appendix D**. Copies of data validation reports are provided on compact disk in **Appendix E**.

Data validation results indicate that soil and groundwater data are acceptable for use with minor qualifications, mainly related to some sample results being qualified as estimated. Data qualifications are summarized as follows:

- Total chromium results in some soil samples were qualified as estimated due to low matrix spike recovery, elevated matrix spike duplicate recovery, or serial dilution precision exceedances.
- Hexavalent chromium results in some soil samples were qualified as estimated due to elevated matrix spike recovery or laboratory duplicate precision exceedance.
- Sulfide results in some soil samples were qualified as estimated due to low matrix spike recovery.
- Hexavalent chromium groundwater sample results in two of the filtered samples (079-MW-A02-072414-F, 079-MW-A02-072414DP-F) were qualified as estimated due to holding time exceedance.

Based on review of data and validation results, the soil and groundwater data is usable as qualified and is acceptable for use in evaluation of post-treatment conditions. The data qualifications do not have a negative impact on overall project objectives.

Honeywell

5.0 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This section presents findings and recommendations based on the sampling results.

Findings

The post-treatment soil sampling analytical results indicate that reduction of hexavalent chromium has occurred across the Site. In the majority of the co-located samples there was a reduction of hexavalent chromium concentrations, as much as over 90%. Residual sulfide concentrations above the reporting limit are present in at least 40% of the samples. The NJDEP soil policy guideline of 20 mg/kg for chromium was not attained in all areas. There is no defined spatial distribution of the results, perhaps due the variability of the historic fill and the variability of pre-treatment hexavalent chromium concentrations.

The geochemical data (Eh and pH) collected with the samples (see **Figure 4**) show that the overall Site geochemical conditions favor reduction of hexavalent chromium to trivalent chromium. Almost all samples with hexavalent chromium concentrations above 20 mg/kg are located in soils where the geochemical conditions favor the conversion of hexavalent to trivalent chromium. This suggests that additional reduction of hexavalent chromium concentrations should be expected. For some of the samples, data indicate that sulfide is still present and the electron source provided by the injection of calcium polysulfide is still available to support further hexavalent chromium reduction (see **Figure 5**). In the cases where sulfide concentrations were below the laboratory reporting limit, additional reduction is expected to proceed by utilizing electron donors already present in the historic fill.

Data indicate only two locations where hexavalent chromium concentrations exist under oxidative conditions (at samples 079-219-0607 and 079-219-0708). The elevated concentration of hexavalent chromium and high pH indicate that chromite ore processing residue may be present at this location. There are no pretreatment data corresponding to these samples, so the efficacy of treatment cannot be assessed. Even then, these points are only slightly into the oxidizing geochemical region, suggesting that conditions are transitional rather than aggressively oxidizing and strongly favoring the stability of hexavalent chromium. However, high concentrations of sulfide remain at this location, suggesting that further reduction of hexavalent chromium could occur over time.

February 2015

Honeywell

In conclusion, data from the post-treatment sampling program shows that the ITP resulted in the reduction of hexavalent chromium concentrations, but did not achieve reduction to below 20 mg/kg at every sampling point. The reductions are best demonstrated by the co-located samples which provide a good basis of comparison in terms of treatment effectiveness, because of the existing pretreatment data. For the majority of those samples, there was a reduction of hexavalent chromium concentrations, of as much as 90% or greater. Therefore, although the treatment did not result in the attainment of the 20 mg/kg hexavalent chromium criterion at every sampling point, it resulted in concentrations, and was successful. Moreover, the overall remedial action has been and continues to be fully protective based on the current land use.

Recommendations

Because the remedial action is considered successful and protective under the existing land use, no further post-remediation soil or groundwater sampling is recommended at this time. (Moreover, paragraph 57 of the Consent Decree establishes that Honeywell has no further treatment or sampling obligations beyond those conducted as part of the remedial action in 2010.) The existing engineering controls and Deed Notice will remain in place. Post-remediation cap inspections, submittal of remedial action protectiveness certification biennial reports, and other applicable post-remediation monitoring and reporting requirements will continue under the existing Deed Notice, Remedial Action Soil Permit, and Long-Term Monitoring Plan for the Site.

6.0 REFERENCES

- Amec, 2011; Remedial Action Report and Confirmatory Sampling Work Plan, Site 079 Route 440 Vehicle Corp. September 2012.
- Amec, 2010; Discharge to Groundwater Permit Request and In-Situ Chemical Reduction Injection Treatment Program Field Implementation Work Plan. July 2010.
- Amec, 2009; Remedial Action Work Plan, Site 079 Route 440 Vehicle Corp. July 2009.
- EPA, 2000; In Situ Treatment of Soil and Groundwater Contaminated with Chromium, EPA/625/R-00/005, October 2000.
- NJDEP, 2012; Letter Correspondence re: Approval of Remedial Action Report and Confirmatory Sampling Work Plan, Site 079 Route 440 Vehicle Corp. Letter dated February 21, 2012.

7.0 LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

bgs	Below Ground Surface
CAPS	Calcium Polysulfide
Cr(VI)	Hexavalent Chromium
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
ITP	In-Situ Treatment Program
mg/kg	milligrams per kilogram
μg/L	micrograms per liter
NFA	No Further Action
N.J.A.C.	New Jersey Administrative Code
NJDEP	New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection
RAR	Remedial Action Report
RAWP	Remedial Action Work Plan
RI	Remedial Investigation
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure

TABLES

TABLE 1Post-Treatment Sampling ProgramStudy Area 5 - NJDEP Site 079 Route 440 Vehicle Corp.Jersey City, New Jersey

Activity	Soil Boring or Well ID	Number of Samples	Sampling Date	Sampling Method	Matrix	Sampling Interval	Analytical Parameters	
	079-SB-201	6	8/18/2014					
	079-SB-202	6	8/18/2014					
	079-SB-203	6	8/18/2014					
	079-SB-204	6	8/19/2014					
	079-SB-205	6	8/19/2014					
	079-SB-206	6	8/21/2014					
	079-SB-207	6	8/19/2014					
	079-SB-208	6	8/21/2014					
	079-SB-209	6	8/19/2014					
Post-Treatment Soil Sampling	079-SB-210	6	8/19/2014			Six 1 fact complex	Hovevalant	
	079-SB-211	6	8/19/2014	Geoprobe	Soil	Six 1-1001 Samples		
	079-SB-212	6	8/18/2014	Macro-Core	3011	9 ft below grade	sulfide	
	079-SB-213	6	8/19/2014			3 It below grade	Sunde	
	079-SB-214	6	8/19/2014					
	079-SB-215	6	8/18/2014					
	079-SB-216	6	8/18/2014					
	079-SB-217	6	8/19/2014					
	079-SB-218	6	8/18/2014					
	079-SB-219	6	8/18/2014					
	079-SB-220	6	8/18/2014					
Post-Treatment	079-SB-221	6	8/19/2014					
	079-SB-222	6	8/18/2014					
	079MW-001	2	7/24/2014	Low-flow	Groundwater	Mid-scroop	Filtered and unfiltered	
Sampling	079-MW-A02	2	7/24/2014	LOW-IIOW	Groundwaller	Mid-Scieen	Eh	

Notes:

1. Borings were not advanced below the existing meadow mat or organic clay stratum.

2. Quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) samples were collected at a rate of 5% of the total number of soil; and field blank samples at a rate of one per sample event.

3. Laboratory analytical results were reported using NJDEP Regulatory Format II. Full Laboratory Data Deliverables - Non-USEPA/CLP Methods.

Location								079-SB	-201						
Sample Date		8/18/2	8/18/2014		8/18/2014		8/18/2014		8/18/2014)14	8/18/2014		8/18/20	014
Sample Depth		3-4 ft		4-5 ft		4-5 ft (DUP)		5-6 ft		6-7 ft		7-8 ft		8-9 ft	
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	NS		NS		NS		NS		NS		NS		NS	
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	0.45	UJ	10		20.3	J	1.8		5.8		4.3	J	1.3	J
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	203		233		253		217		218		228		217	
pH (S.U.)	-	7.75		7.11		7.26		8.05		7.41		7.26		7.9	
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	91.3		83.3		70.4		91.9		82.6		83.1		75.5	
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	16.5		31.3		17.2		13.9		15.5		15.4		16	

Location								079-SB	-202						
Sample Date		8/18/2014		8/18/20	8/18/2014		8/18/2014		8/18/2014		014	8/18/2014		8/18/20	014
Sample Depth		3-4 ft		4-5 f	4-5 ft		5-6 ft		6-7 ft		UP)	7-8 ft		8-9 ft	
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Ø	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Ø	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	NS		NS		NS		NS		NS		NS		NS	
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	1.4	J	0.66	J	8.3		7.1	J	8.7	J	9.2		16.6	J
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	220		209		193		314		318		326		276	
pH (S.U>)	-	7.62		7.59		7.11		7.22		7.53		7.06		7.5	
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	73.9		74.6		80.4		84.6		85.6		83.4		83.2	
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	4.2	U	4.2	U	3.8	U	3.8	U	11.6		3.6	U	5	U

Location								079-SB	-203						
Sample Date		8/18/2014		8/18/20	8/18/2014		8/18/2014		8/18/2014		014	8/18/2014		8/18/20	014
Sample Depth		3-4 ft		4-5 f	4-5 ft		5-6 ft		5-6 ft (DUP)		ť	7-8 ft		8-9 ft	
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	NS		NS		NS		NS		NS		NS		NS	
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	1.4		9.7	J	20.1	J	29.8	J	2.7	J	17.3	J	17.8	J
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	340		400		295		301		257		270		314	
pH (S.U>)	-	8.59		6.53		6.15		6.9		7.66		8.09		7.44	
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	92.8		89.5		47.8		60.4		80.9		83.7		80.5	
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	3.5	U	3.5	U	6.7	U	5.1	U	4.1		3.8	U	3.9	U

Location		079-SB-204													
Sample Date		8/19/20	014	8/19/2	8/19/2014		8/19/2014		8/19/2014		8/19/2014		014	8/19/20	014
Sample Depth		3-4 1	3-4 ft		4-5 ft		5-6 ft		6-7 ft		UP)	7-8 ft		8-9 ft	
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	31.7	J	67.4	J	2900	J	461	J	636	J	386	J	206	J
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	1.8		2.3		10.9		22.4		37.7		17.3		16.6	
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	262		265		254		222		299		271		335	
pH (S.U>)	-	8.18		8.19		7.76		7.6		7.64		7		5.97	
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	90.5		88		74.7		82.6		81.8		82.8		83.7	
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	5.5	J	4.5	UJ	14.7	J	4.8	UJ	4.8	U	4.8	UJ	4.7	UJ

Location		079-SB-205													
Sample Date		8/19/2	014	8/19/20	014	8/19/20	8/19/2014)14	8/19/20)14	8/19/20	014		
Sample Depth		3-4 ft		4-5 f	t	5-6 f	5-6 ft		6-7 ft		7-8 ft		ft		
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q		
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	87.3	J	993	J	1470	J	7090	J	33200	J	7850	J		
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	18		0.51		71.6		1.8		5.2		1			
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	307		275		231		169		-39.5		-8.8			
pH (S.U>)	-	7.54		7.8		7.68		8.15		8.84		8.45			
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	78		81.4		86.3		64.9		37.1		41.2			
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	5.1	U	4.9	U	4.6	U	6	U	32.2		72.7			

Location						C)79-S	B-206					
Sample Date		8/21/2	8/21/2014		8/21/2014		8/21/2014		014	8/21/2014		8/21/2014	
Sample Depth		3-4 1	ft	4-5 f	ť	5-6 ft		6-7 ft		7-8 ft		8-9 ft	
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	28.1	J	105	J	535	J	2490	J	1910	J	1060	J
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	2.4	J	8.4	J	10.3	J	47.4	J	124	J	27.8	J
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	375		344		325		337		328		327	
pH (S.U>)	-	7.05		8.01		7.53		8.33		8.54		8.45	
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	83.7		87.5		81.5		74.6		79.5		68.4	
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	4.8	U	4.6	U	4.9	U	5.4	U	5	U	5.8	U

Location		079-SB-207													
Sample Date		8/19/2	014	8/19/20	014	8/19/2	8/19/2014		8/19/2014		014	8/19/2	014		
Sample Depth		3-4 1	ft	4-5 f	t	5-6 ft		6-7 ft		7-8 ft		8-9 ft			
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q		
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	32.9	J	120	J	5900	J	6390	J	20100	J	3440	J		
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	2.5		0.98	U	80.4		11		0.64	U	127			
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	234		239		230		237		208		238			
pH (S.U>)	-	8.21		7.46		8.19		7.84		8.45		8.15			
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	81.2		42.4		70.9		61.9		64.7		67.6			
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	4.9	U	46.5		5.6	U	7	U	6.2		5.9	U		

Location						0	79-S	B-208					
Sample Date		8/21/20	014	8/21/20	014	8/21/20	014	8/21/20	014	8/21/20)14	8/21/20	014
Sample Depth		3-4 f	it	4-5 f	ft	5-6 f	it	6-7 1	ft	7-8 f	t	8-9 f	ft
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC Q (CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	55.4		75.2		526		75.3		2040		1820	
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	4.1		1	J	0.51	U	0.49	UJ	92.7		122	J
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	355		392		299		287		307		280	
pH (S.U>)	-	7.67		6.42		7.89		7.72		7.23		7.92	
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	85.6		83.1		77.8		81.7		82.1		66.8	
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	4.7	U	4.8	U	5.1	U	4.9		4.9	U	6	U

Location						0)79-S	B-209					
Sample Date		8/19/2	014	8/19/20	014	8/19/20	014	8/19/20	014	8/19/20)14	8/19/20	014
Sample Depth		3-4 1	ft	4-5 f	ť	5-6 f	ft	6-7 1	t	7-8 f	t	8-91	ft
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC Q (CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	43	J	3170	J	9590	J	3300	J	4560	J	12000	J
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	9	43 J 3 9		J	294	J	11.5		112	J	5	J
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	269		295		294		197		217		77	
pH (S.U>)	-	7.48		7.23		7.34		6.6		7.42		7.7	
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	83		81.9		67.1		72.4		67.2		41.3	
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	4.8	U	4.9	U	5.9	U	5.5	U	6	U	15.6	

Location						0	79-S	B-210					
Sample Date		8/19/2	014	8/19/20)14	8/19/20	014	8/19/20	014	8/19/20)14	8/19/20	014
Sample Depth		3-4 1	ft	4-5 1	t	5-6 1	ť	6-7 1	ť	7-8 f	t	8-91	ft
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	54.4	J	15.7	J	16.3	J	45800	J	17000	J	13600	J
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	3	54.4 J ² 3 J (J	1.1	J	4.6	J	1.8	J	2	J
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	192		235		244		189		79.6		171	
pH (S.U>)	-	8.58		7.72		7.6		6.85		7.53		7.71	
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	91.6		90.7		87.2		44.2		64.6		66.2	
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	4.3	U	4.4	U	4.5	U	8.9	U	6.1	U	5.9	U

Location						0	79-S	B-211					
Sample Date		8/19/2	014	8/19/20	014	8/19/20	014	8/19/20)14	8/19/20)14	8/19/20	014
Sample Depth		3-4 1	ft	4-5 f	it	5-6 f	it	6-7 f	ť	7-8 f	t	8-91	ft
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC Q		CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	135 J		174	J	965	J	3940	J	5090	J	12900	J
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	12.5	J	11.6		70.9	J	163		26.5		0.95	U
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	237		256		287		293		260		71.6	
pH (S.U>)	-	8.1		8.08		7.72		7.07		8.15		7.88	
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	83		84.6		81.3		78.5		72.6		43.5	
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	4.8	U	4.7	U	4.9	U	5	U	5.4	U	8.9	U

Location								079-SB	-212						
Sample Date		8/18/20	014	8/18/20	014	8/18/20)14	8/18/20	014	8/18/20	014	8/18/20)14	8/18/20	014
Sample Depth		3-4 f	ft	4-5 f	ť	5-6 f	ť	6-7 1	ť	7-8 f	ť	7-8 ft (D	UP)	8-9 f	ft
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	NS		NS		NS		NS		NS		NS		NS	
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	1.3	J	1.6	J	4.6	J	10.3	J	14.8	J	17.1	J	9.1	J
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	341		464		382		148		229		258		223	
pH (S.U>)	-	7.05		4.44		6.83		7.24		7.92		8.07		8.05	
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	93.9		92.2		84.5		83.6		80.7		83.6		82.5	
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	3.4	U	3.4	U	3.8	U	3.7	U	3.9	U	4		3.8	U

Location						0	79-S	B-213					
Sample Date		8/19/2	014	8/19/20	014	8/19/20	014	8/19/20	014	8/19/20	014	8/19/20	014
Sample Depth		3-4 1	ft	4-5 f	ť	5-6 f	ť	6-7 1	ť	7-8 1	ť	8-91	ft
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	82.7	J	38.8	J	150	J	1240		5750		2920	
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	12		5		21		125	J	3	J	0.75	J
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	225		252		277		279		201		210	
pH (S.U>)	-	7.72		7.19		7.07		7.48		6.78		7.5	
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	88		86.9		87.4		69.8		75.2		72	
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	4.5	U	4.6	U	4.5	U	5.6	U	5.3	U	5.5	U

Location						0	79-S	B-214					
Sample Date		8/19/20	014	8/19/2	014	8/19/20)14	8/19/20)14	8/19/20)14	8/19/20	014
Sample Depth		3-4 f	ť	4-5 1	ft	5-6 f	t	6-7 f	ť	7-8 f	t	8-9 f	ť
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC Q		CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	21.2		42.5		7100		20000		10000		1100	
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	1.6	J	0.49	UJ	18.3	J	1.1	J	16.3	J	0.65	J
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	255		211		201		74.3		70.5		49.6	
pH (S.U>)	-	7.1		7.74		7.69		7.66		7.85		8.02	
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	88.7		83.9		43.5		62.6		47.4		62.1	
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	6.1		8.9		14.7		6.2	U	69		21.6	

Location						0	79-S	B-215					
Sample Date		8/18/20	014	8/18/2	014	8/18/20	014	8/18/20	014	8/18/20)14	8/18/20	014
Sample Depth		3-4 f	it	4-5 1	it	5-6 f	ť	6-7 1	it	7-8 f	ť	8-91	ft
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC			Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	NS		NS		NS		NS		NS		NS	
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	0.76	J	0.47	J	91.4	J	19.1	J	23.7	J	6.2	J
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	266		302		181		234		244		205	
pH (S.U>)	-	8.31		8.36		7.18		7.81		7.51		8.41	
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	91		89.2		81.5		81.3		82.9		79.4	
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	4.1	U	4.5	U	4.8	U	5	U	4.6		40.1	

Location						0	79-S	B-216					
Sample Date		8/18/20	014	8/18/2	014	8/18/20	014	8/18/20	014	8/18/20	014	8/18/2	014
Sample Depth		3-4 1	ť	4-5	ft	5-6 f	ť	6-7 1	ť	7-8 f	ť	8-9	ft
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC	CONC Q (Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	NS		NS		NS		NS		NS		NS	
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	NS 0.51 J		3.2	UJ	9.8	J	1.8	J	1.1		2.9	UJ
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	269		267		241		210		187		143	
pH (S.U>)	-	7.86		5.88		7.19		7.28		7.85		8.05	
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	91.4		62.6		47.3		66		82.7		70.1	
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	4.2	U	6.6	U	12.8		12.6		4.8		11.7	

Location						0	79-S	B-217					
Sample Date		8/19/20	014	8/19/20	014	8/19/20	014	8/19/20)14	8/19/20)14	8/19/20	014
Sample Depth		3-4 f	it	4-5 f	it	5-6 f	it	6-7 f	ť	7-8 f	t	8-9 f	ít
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC Q		CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	31.3		33		26.7		3000		541		374	
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	31.3 14.8 J		2.4	J	3.6	J	41.9	J	74.1	J	14.1	J
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	233		251		252		233		264		671	
pH (S.U>)	-	7.79		7.52		7.87		8.39		7.3		7.59	
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	91.1		84.2		83		81.4		84.1		82.5	
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	6		4.7	U	4.8	U	4.9	U	4.6	U	4.8	U

Location								079-SB	-218						
Sample Date		8/18/20	014	8/18/20)14	8/18/20)14	8/18/20	014	8/18/20)14	8/18/20)14	8/18/20	014
Sample Depth		3-4 f	ť	4-5 f	t	5-6 f	t	6-7 f	ť	6-7 ft (D	UP)	7-8 f	t	8-9 f	ít
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	NS		NS		NS		NS		NS		NS		NS	
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	0.46	J	25.7		256	J	60.4	J	26.4		29.1	J	4.4	J
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	252		250		161		155		215		221		227	
pH (S.U>)	-	8.26		6.66		9.04		9.57		9.18		8.3		7.67	
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	90.2		60.1		51.9		78.5		80		80.6		52.2	
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	4.3	U	6.7		35.6		28.1		28.7		11.8		21.6	

Location								079-SB	-219						
Sample Date		8/18/20	014	8/18/20	014	8/18/20	014	8/18/20	014	8/18/20	014	8/18/20)14	8/18/20	014
Sample Depth		3-4 f	ť	3-4 ft (D	UP)	4-5 f	ť	5-6 1	ft	6-7 1	ť	7-8 f	t	8-9 f	ft
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	NS		NS		NS		NS		NS		NS		NS	
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	1	J	5.1	J	156	J	26.8	J	3580		4150		24.2	J
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	281		241		405		373		95.6		95.2		116	
pH (S.U>)	-	8.18		7.99		7.46		7.05		11.82		11.9		9.59	
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	84.5		89.7		83.3		59		43.8		45.2		41.1	
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	4.8	U	7.8		8.2		6.8		33.9		53.4		119	

Location								079-SB	-220						
Sample Date		8/18/20	014	8/18/20	014	8/18/20)14	8/18/2	014	8/18/20)14	8/18/20)14	8/18/20	014
Sample Depth		3-4 f	it	4-5 f	t	5-6 f	t	6-7	ft	7-8 f	t	8-9 f	t	8-9 ft (D	OUP)
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	NS		NS		NS		NS		NS		NS		NS	
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	0.43		0.83	J	29.1	ſ	55.1	J	2.4		28.4	J	10	
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	260		260		156		219		206		249		260	
pH (S.U>)	-	7.48		7.23		7.09		7.62		7.39		8.04		8.01	
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	91.3		89.4		81.4		81.7		59		83.4		84.3	
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	9.8		10		12.4		19.7		53.9		21.6		21.5	

Location			079-SB-221										
Sample Date		8/19/2	014	8/19/2	014	8/19/2	014	8/19/2	014	8/19/20	014	8/19/2	014
Sample Depth		3-4	ft	4-5	ft	5-61	ft	6-7	ft	7-8 1	ť	8-9	ft
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	21.2		17.8		151		121		279		257	J
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	0.79	J	1.2	J	7.4		6.7	J	11	J	25.5	J
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	380		388		362		324		360		359	
pH (S.U>)	-	8.44		8.16		8.25		9.22		7.89		8.07	
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	91.9		88.9		84.9		83.1		79.3		81.3	
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	4.3	U	4.4	U	4.6	U	4.8	U	5	U	4.8	U

Location								079-SB	-222						
Sample Date		8/18/2	014	8/18/20	014	8/18/20	014	8/18/20	014	8/18/20	014	8/18/20	014	8/18/20	014
Sample Depth		3-4	ft	4-5 f	ť	5-6 1	ft	5-6 ft (D	UP)	6-7 1	ft	7-8 f	ťt	8-9 f	ít
Parameter Name	RDCSRS	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM (mg/kg)	-	NS		NS		NS		NS		NS		NS		NS	
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM (mg/kg	20	0.46	UJ	4.1	J	0.49	U	6.1	J	5.7		4.6		0.48	U
REDOX POTENTIAL (mV)	-	232		277		290		267		344		309		265	
pH (S.U>)	-	9.29		7.91		7.53		7.33		6.1		6.72		6.35	
SOLIDS, PERCENT	-	89.6		89.6		81.9		82.3		85.6		84.7		84	
SULFIDE (mg/kg)	-	25.7		19		17		18.2		16		14.3		4.7	U

Notes:

RDCSRS: NJDEP Residential Direct Contact Soil Remediation Standards [N.J.A.C. 7:26D; last amended 5/7/2012]. Hexavalent chromium criterion of 20 mg/kg based on NJDEP Policy Memorandum (2/8/2007)

Bold and shaded concentrations exceed 20 mg/kg

Depths reported in feet below ground surface

CONC: Concentration reported in units noted

Q: Data qualifier assigned by laboratory or data validator

DUP: Field Duplicate

U: Not detected above method detection limit

J: Estimated concentration

NS: Not Sampled

-: No Standard

	Pre-Treatment (RI)	Post-Treatment	Percent Reduction
Boring ID	079-SB-A02	079-SB-216	
Date	5/14/1997	8/18/2014	
0-2 (ft)	9.6	-	NA
2-4 (ft)	2.2 U	-	76 90/
3-4 (ft)	-	0.51	70.876
4-5 (ft)	-	3.2 U	00.00/
4-6 (ft)	304	-	90.9%
5-6 (ft)	-	9.8	NA
6-7 (ft)	-	1.8	NA
7-8 (ft)	-	1.1	NA
8-10 (ft)	73.9	-	06.1%
8-9 (ft)	-	2.9 U	90.178
12-14 (ft)	2.4 U	-	NA
14-16 (ft)	2.5 U	-	NA

	Pre-Treatment (RI)	Post-Treatment	Percent Reduction
Boring ID	079-SB-033	079-SB-215	
Date	5/17/2009	8/18/2014	
0-1 (ft)	1.8 U	-	NA
1-2 (ft)	1.8 U	-	NA
2-3 (ft)	2.2	-	NA
3-4 (ft)	-	0.76	NA
4-5 (ft)	155	0.47	99.7%
5-6 (ft)	109	91.4	16.1%
6-7 (ft)	29.2	19.1	34.6%
7-8 (ft)	21.6	23.7	No Reduction
8-9 (ft)	10.1	6.2	38.6%

	Pre-Treatment (RI)	Post-Treatment	Percent Reduction
Boring ID	079-SB-D02	079-SB-212	
Date	10/27/1999	8/18/2014	
0-2 (ft)	13.2	-	NA
2-4 (ft)	33.9	-	06.2%
3-4 (ft)	-	1.3	90.270
4-5 (ft)	-	1.6	92.6%
4-6 (ft)	21.7	-	NA
5-6 (ft)	-	4.6	78.8%
6-7 (ft)	-	10.3	67.1%
6-8 (ft)	31.3	-	NA
7-8 (ft)	-	17.1	45.4%
8-9 (ft)	-	9.1	NA
10-12 (ft)	29.8	-	NA
12-14 (ft)	24.8	-	NA
14-16 (ft)	2 U	-	NA
16-18 (ft)	2.9	-	NA
18-20 (ft)	2 U	-	NA

	Pre-Treatment (RI)	Post-Treatment	Percent Reduction
Boring ID	079-SB-029	079-SB-214	
Date	5/17/2009	8/19/2014	
0-1 (ft)	23.8	-	NA
1-2 (ft)	16.7	-	NA
2-3 (ft)	1.1 U	-	NA
3-4 (ft)	-	1.6	NA
4-5 (ft)	169	0.49 U	99.7%
5-6 (ft)	129	18.3	85.8%
6-7 (ft)	-	1.1	NA
7-8 (ft)	-	16.3	NA
8-9 (ft)	1.4 U	0.65	53.6%

	Pre-Treatment (RI)	Post-Treatment	Percent Reduction
Boring ID	079-SB-008	079-SB-219	
Date	7/27/2005	8/18/2014	
0-2 (ft)	6.5	-	NA
2-3 (ft)	50.4	-	NA
3-4 (ft)	-	5.1	NA
4-5 (ft)	331	156	52.9%
5-6 (ft)	-	26.8	NA
6-7 (ft)	-	3580	NA
7-8 (ft)	-	4150	NA
8-10 (ft)	2 U	-	No Poduction
8-9 (ft)	-	24.2	

	Pre-Treatment (RI)		Percent Reduction
Boring ID	079-SB-B02	079-SB-217	
Date	11/20/1998	8/19/2014	
0-2 (ft)	3.8	-	NA
2-4 (ft)	2 U	-	No Roduction
3-4 (ft)	-	14.8	NO REDUCTION
4-5 (ft)	-	2.4	
4-6 (ft)	2 U	-	No Reduction
5-6 (ft)		3.6	
6-7 (ft)	-	41.9	96.09/
6-8 (ft)	321	-	00.9%
7-8 (ft)	-	74.1	NA
8-9 (ft)	-	14.1	NA
10-12 (ft)	34.8	-	NA

	Pre-Treatment (RI)		Percent Reduction
Boring ID	079-SB-C02	079-SB-222	
Date	5/14/1997	8/18/2014	
0-2 (ft)	19.3	-	NA
2-4 (ft)	6.9	-	02.20/
3-4 (ft)	-	0.46 U	93.3%
4-5 (ft)	-	4.1	37.9%
4-6 (ft)	6.6	-	NA
5-6 (ft)	-	6.1	7.6%
6-7 (ft)	-	5.7	NA
7-8 (ft)	-	4.6	NA
8-10 (ft)	41.6	-	09 99/
8-9 (ft)	-	0.48 U	90.878
10-12 (ft)	15.6 U	-	NA
12-14 (ft)	63.8	-	NA
14-16 (ft)	6.1	-	NA

	Pre-Treatment (RI)	Post-Treatment	Percent Reduction		
Boring ID	079-SB-B01	079-SB-213			
Date	5/14/1997	8/19/2014			
0-2 (ft)	72.1	-	NA		
2-4 (ft)	2.7	-	No Poduction		
3-4 (ft)	-	12	NO REDUCTION		
4-5 (ft)	-	5	99.2%		
4-6 (ft)	601	-	NA		
5-6 (ft)	-	21	96.5%		
6-7 (ft)	-	125	NA		
7-8 (ft)	-	3	NA		
8-10 (ft)	35.1	-	07.0%		
8-9 (ft)	-	0.75	51.9%		
12-14 (ft)	4.4	-	NA		

	Pre-Treatment (RI)	Post-Treatment	Percent Reduction
Boring ID	079-SB-031	079-SB-220	
Date	5/17/2009	8/18/2014	
0-1 (ft)	1.9 U	-	NA
1-2 (ft)	1.9 U	-	NA
3-4 (ft)	-	0.43	NA
4-5 (ft)	13.3	0.83	93.8%
5-6 (ft)	3.8	29.1	No Reduction
6-7 (ft)	57	55.1	3.3%
7-8 (ft)	-	2.4	NA
8-9 (ft)	3.2	28.4	No Reduction

	Pre-Treatment (RI)	Post-Treatment	Percent Reduction
Boring ID	079-SB-035	079-SB-218	
Date	5/17/2009	8/18/2014	
2-3 (ft)	0.92 U	-	NA
3-4 (ft)	1.1 U	0.46	58.2%
4-5 (ft)	4.7	25.7	No Reduction
5-6 (ft)	2770	256	90.8%
5-6 A (ft)	103	256	No Reduction
6-7 (ft)	16.1	60.4	No Reduction
7-8 (ft)	-	29.1	NA
8-9 (ft)	-	4.4	NA

Notes:

All results in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg)

Hexavalent chromium criterion of 20 mg/kg based on NJDEP Policy Memorandum (2/8/2007) Bold and shaded concentrations exceed 20 mg/kg

Depths reported in feet below ground surface

U: Not detected above method detection limit

J: Estimated concentration

NA: Depth intervals do not match, no comparison was made

-: No Standard

ft: Feet below ground surface

Instances where duplicate was collected, the higher of the 2 results is presented.

TABLE 4Groundwater Sample Results - July 2014Study Area 5 - NJDEP Site 079 Route 440 Vehicle Corp.Jersey City, New Jersey

Field Sample ID		079-MW-001-0724	14	079-MW-001-072	2414F	079-MW-A02-0724	414	
Location		079-MW-001		079-MW-00	1	079-MW-A02		
Lab Sample ID		JB72424-1		JB72424-1F	-	JB72424-2		
Sample Date		7/24/2014		7/24/2014		7/24/2014		
Parameter Name	Units	GWQS	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM	ug/l	70	4.0	U	4.0	U	5.5	
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM	ug/l	-	5.5	U	5.5	U	5.5	U

		Field Sample ID	079-MW-A02-072414	DΡ	079-MW-A02-07241	I4F	079-MW-A02-072414	IDPF
		Location	079-MW-A02		079-MW-A02		079-MW-A02	
		Lab Sample ID	JB72424-3		JB72424-2F		JB72424-3F	
		Sample Date	7/24/2014		7/24/2014		7/24/2014	
Parameter Name	Units	GWQS	CONC	Q	CONC	Q	CONC	Q
CHROMIUM	ug/l	70	5.7		4.5		4.2	
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM	ug/l	-	5.5	U	5.5	UJ	5.5	UJ

Notes:

Q: Qualifier

U: Not detected above method detection limit

J: Estimated concentration

GWQS: Groundwater Quality Standard

-: No Standard

FIGURES



IS ALL HALL PARIT'S SULE RISK AND RI		079–SB–206Date8/21/2014ParameterCR (VI)Depth (ft)	079-5 Date Parameter Depth (ft) 3-4 4-5 5-6 6-7 7-8 8-9	B-207 8/19/2014 CR (VI) 2.5 0.98 U 80.4 11 0.64 U 127		
THIS DOCOMENT BY ANY THISD PARTY		079-SB-205 Date 8/19/2014 Parameter CR (VI) Depth (ft)	079-SB- Date Parameter Depth (ft) 0-2 0-2 D 2-4 4-6 4-6 D 8-10	009 7/27/2005 CR (VI) 2 UJ 2 UJ 18.4 J 2 UJ 18.7 J 2 UJ 7.7 J	079–5 Date Parameter Depth (ft) 0–2 2–4 4–6 8–10 12–14	SB-B01 5/14/199 CR (VI) 2.7 J 601 J 35.1 J 4.4 J
	079-SB-A02 Date 5/14/1997 Parameter CR (VI) Depth (ft) 0-2 2-4 2.2 UJ 4-6 304 J 8-10 5.3 J 8-10 D 73.9 J 12-14 2.4 UJ 14-16 2.5 UJ	079-SB-216 Date 8/18/2014 Parameter CR (VI) Depth (ft) 3-4 4-5 3.2 UJ 5-6 9.8 J 6-7 1.8 J 7-8 1.1 8-9 2.9 UJ			CB WWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWW	WWW
079-SB-203 Date 8/18/2014 Parameter CR (VI) Depth (ft)	079–SB–219 Date 8/18/2014 Parameter CR (VI) Depth (ft)	079-SB-008 Date 7/27/2005 Parameter CR (VI) Depth (ft) 0-2 6.5 J 2-3 50.4 J 2-3 J 2-3 D 38.3 J 4-5 4-5 331 J 8-10 2 UJ	OHU	12" WATER R M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	DT9-SB-21	
079-SB-218 Date 8/18/2014 Parameter CR (VI) Depth (ft)	079-SB-035 Date 5/17/2009 Parameter CR (VI) Depth (ft) 0.92 U 3-4 1.1 U 4-5 4.7 5-6 2770 103 6-7 6-7 D 16.1	079–SB–012 Date 12/8/2008 Parameter CR (VI) Depth (ft) 0.5–1 0.5–1 1 2.5–3 0.93 U 4–4.5 18.1 6–6.5 0.94 U 8.5–9 0.95 U		25555ED WH 12 H CB W W W W W W W W W	24" RCP 24" RCP RCP	079-SB-218 079-SB-218 079-SB-035 077 RAM
	079–SB–010 Date 7/27/2005 Parameter CR (VI) Depth (ft) 0–2 2 UJ 2–3.5 2 UJ 4–6 5.2 J 6–7.5 15.6 J 8–10 2 UJ	079-SB-034 Date 5/17/2009 Parameter CR (VI) Depth (ft) 0-1 1-2 0.92 U 2-3 1.1 U 4-5 2.2 5-6 9.8 6-7 6.9 8-9 10.2		PLACE		
$\begin{array}{c} 079-SB-B\\ \hline Date \\ 1\\ \hline Parameter\\ \hline Depth (ft)\\ \hline 0-2\\ 2-4\\ \hline 4-6\\ \hline 6-8\\ \hline 6-8\\ \hline 0-8\\ \hline 0-$	02 079-SB-217 1/20/1998 Date 8/19 CR (VI) Depth (ft) 3.8 J 4-5 2 2 UJ 5-6 3 321 J 7-8 7 267 1 0.000 1	079-SB-204 Date 8/19/207 Parameter CR (VI) Pepth (ft) 3-4 9/2014 3-4 1.8 9/2014 3-4 1.8 9/2014 6-7 22.4 6-7 37.7 4.8 J 7-8 17.3 8-9 16.6		CARB = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	RATE I	
10-12	34.8 J	079-SB-013 Date 12/8/2008 12/9/20 rameter CR (VI) CR (VI) pth (ft) 1.3 1.3 2-2.5 1.8 1.4 4-4.5 2.3 3.4 0-10.5 362 3.4)08	СВ СВ СВ СВ СВ СВ	I I Zest	
					MH	=2' DEP
BASEMAP SOURCE: REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION REPORT NOVEMBER 1999, PREPARED BY	DATED TETRA TECH.			REV.	DATE	



079-SB	-221
te	8/19/2014
neter	CR (VI)
(ft)	
4	0.79 J
·5	1.2 J
-6	7.4
.7	6.7 J
-8	11 J
-9	25.5 J

079-SE	-214
ate	8/19/2014
meter	CR (VI)
h (ft)	
-4	1.6 J
-5	0.49 UJ
-6	18.3 J
-7	1.1 J
-8	16.3 J
-9	0.65 J

079-SB	-031
Date	5/17/2009
ameter	CR (VI)
th (ft)	
0-1	1.9 UJ
1-2	1.9 UJ
4-5	13.3 J
5-6	3.8 J
5-7	57 J
3-9	3.2 J

079-SB	-D02
ate	10/27/1999
ımeter	CR (VI)
:h (ft)	
-2	13.2 J
-4	33.9 J
-6	21.7 J
-8	31.3 J
-12	29.8 J
-14	24.8 J
-16	2 UJ
-18	2.9 J
-20	2 UJ
079-SB	-030
Date	5/17/2009
ameter	CR (VI)
th (ft)	
D−1	0.86 U
1-2	0.89 U
2-3	0.89 U
-3 D	1.2
1-5	4.4
5-6	5.2
5-7	11.2
2 0	176

079-SB-	-201
ate	8/18/2014
neter	CR (VI)
n (ft)	
-4	0.45 UJ
-5	10
5 D	20.3 J
-6	1.8
-7	5.8
-8	4.3 J
-9	1.3 J

079-SB	-C02
e	5/14/1997
neter	CR (VI)
(ft)	
2	19.3 J
4	6.9 J
6	6.6 J
0	41.6 J
12	15.6 UJ
14	63.8 J
16	6.1 J

)79–SB-	-014
е	12/8/2008
eter	CR (VI)
(ft)	
.5	2.1
.5	5.3
.5	1.6
-7	0.87 U
.5	12.4
2.5	1.1 U

LEGEND	
\$	MONITORING WELL
•	RI BORING LOCATION (1998–2009)
	POST-REMEDIATION BORING LOCATION (2014)
0	MANHOLE
T	HYDRANT
	SIGN
-0- 0R ~0_	UTILITY POLE
¢	LIGHT POLE
	CATCH BASIN
0	INLET
₩ or 🔾	TREE
\bigcirc or $+$	VEGETATION/SHRUB/LANDSCAPED AREA
	SITE 079 BOUNDARY
	SANITARY/STORM SEWER
E	ELECTRIC LINE
ОНU	OVERHEAD UTILITY LINE
w	WATER LINE
GAS	GAS LINE
<u> </u>	138 KV ELECTRIC LINE
x x	FENCE LINE
10	GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR LINE
	BUILDING/STRUCTURE (ON SITE)
	RI DATA (1998–2009)
	POST-REMEDIATION DATA(2014)

<u>NOTES</u>:

U- COMPOUND NOT DETECTED AT DETECTION LIMIT

- J- ESTIMATED VALUE BELOW REPORTING LIMIT
- D- FIELD DUPLICATE SAMPLE
- Cr(VI)- HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM

NOTES:

PREVIOUS RI SOIL BORING LOCATIONS AND DATA (1998/1999) FROM REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION REPORT DATED NOVEMBER 1999, PREPARED BY TETRA TECH; FIGURE 4-3

2005/2008/2009 BORING LOCATIONS COMPLETED BY MACTEC

SAMPLE LOCATIONS WITH TOTAL CHROMIUM DATA FROM IRM REPORT (FEB, 1994). SOME LOCATIONS FROM 1994 IRM MAP SLIGHTLY ADJUSTED TO FIT ACTUAL PROPERTY DIMENSIONS.

ALL SOIL RESULTS IN MILLIGRAMS PER KILOGRAM (mg/kg)

BOLD AND SHADED VALUES INDICATES HEXAVALENT CHROMUIM CONCENTRATIONS DETECTED ABOVE THE NJDEP SOIL CLEANUP CRITERIA (20 mg/kg).



FIGURE 2 POST-TREATMENT AND REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SOIL SAMPLE RESULTS STUDY AREA 5 - NJDEP SITE 079 ROUTE 440 VEHICLE CORP. JERSEY CITY, NEW JERSEY

079-MW-	A02									
Total	Hexavalent	Hexavalent		2		079-MW-001			- <u>LEGEN</u>	1
nium Chromiu	Chromium	Chromium ua/l			Total	Total	Heravalent	Hexavalent	•	
(Filtered	d) ug/l	(Filtered)	_	Sample Date	Chromium	Chromium	Chromium	Chromium	0	
	10 U 10 U	10 U 10 U	_		ug/l	(Filtered)	ug/l	(Filtered)		
	10 U	10 U		7/8/2010 7/8/2010 (Dup)	20.5	10 U	10 U 10 U	10 U 10 U		
3 U	50 U 10 U	50 U 10 U	_	7/24/2014	4.0 U	4.0 U	5.5 U	5.5 U	OR	0
_	10 U	10 U		E	*** *** P** **					
4.5	10 U 5.5 U	10 U 5.5 U	POUTE 440	DHU CAR					ų m	
4.2	5.5 U	5.5 U	STATE HIGHWAY NOC	CURB	5					
		× N	NEW LERSEL SHOW OHU OHU STON		MH 96" C	:50			0	
	T			01	5	-			* OR	
		UHU	CB	THOUSE THOUSE		>			💭 OR	*
		. DEPR	ta C CONC. CURB CONC. CURB O 079-MW-A02 DATE REP PARKING LOT			-				
		12" RCP MH	24" RCP 8 2000 100						====	
				× Ist					———— E ———	
				* TEEWAL					OHU	
			RAMP		e de la companya de l				W	
									GAS	
			HONDA						<u> </u>	
			CAR DEALERSHIP BLDG.						x x-	
		×			STR X				10	·
				OHU						
		BO			EHS CSO					
		CAF							NOTES:	
									U- Co	OMF
		CURB							ug/L- MI	ICR
			ASPHALT H	× ×					DUP- FI	IELD
				INHO					SHADED AN	ND B
			CATE CATE CATE CATE CATE CATE CATE CATE	CIVIC					GROUNDWA	TER
			MORTORANO WAY							
				 Amec Foster W	Wheeler PROJECT No	3480120313	-	_tt		Γ
				- DRAWING: 348	180120313-6100-	-HGWR-0000	amec fo	ster whe	eler 🔫	
				}						1
۲:	DATED			PREPARED/D	DATE: CHECK	<pre>KED/DATE: 0/24/14</pre>	200 AMERIC	A INFRASTRUC	10 HE. INC.	1
Т	TETRA TECH.		REV. DATE STATUS DRFT CH	KD		S/ Z I/ I T	HAMILTO	JIN, INEW JERSEY 08619		

DRFT CHKD BY BY







APPENDIX A

Relevant Correspondence



REC'D FEB 2 4 2012

State of New Jersey

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

CHRIS CHRISTIE Governor

KIM GUADAGNO Lt. Governor MENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PRO Site Remediation Program 401 E. State Street, 6th Floor Mail Code 401-06 P. O. Box 420 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0420 Tel. #(609) 292-1250 Fax # (609) 984-6514

Honeywell Inc. Attn: Ms. Maria Kaouris, Project Manager PO Box 1057 Morristown, NJ 07962-1057

Approval

Re: Hudson County Chromate - Allied Study Area 5 (Sites 079 – Route 440 Vehicle Corp.) Jersey City, Hudson County SRP PI# G000008789 (Site 079 PI# G000008706) Activity Number Reference: RPC02000 Case Name/Number: 9-20-11 RAR/CSWP

Dear Ms. Maria Kaouris:

The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (Department) has completed review of the Remedial Action Report and Confirmatory Sampling Work Plan (dated September 2011) and received on September 21, 2011. The Department has determined that the document is in compliance with the Technical Requirements for Site Remediation, N.J.A.C. 7:26E, and other applicable requirements. The Department hereby approves the document, effective the date of this letter. Per Section 4 (Post-Remediation Monitoring Plan) and 6 (Conclusions and Recommendations), post-remediation soil and groundwater sampling of the treated area will be conducted in approximately three years. A report discussing evaluation of the effectiveness of the treatment will be submitted to the parties for review, on or about February 4, 2015.

The Department requests Honeywell submit copies of this letter to the appropriate parties. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Dave Doyle at (609) 292-2173.



cc: Dave Doyle, NJDEP John Morris, Honeywell BOB MARTIN Commissioner

Date: February 21, 2012

BRUCE J. TERRIS CAROLYN SMITH PRAVLIK KATHLEEN L. MILLIAN

LYNN E. CUNNINGHAM ELISABETH J. LYONS Of Counsel **TERRIS, PRAVLIK & MILLIAN, LLP**

1121 12TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-4632 (202) 682-2100 FAX 202-289-6795 tpminfo@tpmlaw.com

October 26, 2011

ALICIA C. ALCORN ZENIA SANCHEZ FUENTES MICHELLE WEAVER JANE M. LIU GINA TOMASELLI PATRICK A. SHELDON EHSAN TABESH* TODD A. GLUCKMAN*

* Not admitted D.C. Bar

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

John Morris Remediation Portfolio Director Honeywell International, Inc. 101 Columbia Rd. Morristown, NJ 07962

> Re: Sites 79 and 153 South Consent Decree Site 79 Remedial Action Report and In-Situ Confirmatory Sampling Work Plan

Dear John:

Plaintiffs and their experts have reviewed Honeywell's Site 79 Remedial Action Report ("RAR") and Confirmatory Sampling Work Plan ("Work Plan") that was submitted to NJDEP on September 20, 2011.

Plaintiffs have no further technical comments on the RAR and Work Plan, and hereby approve them.

However, as set forth in plaintiffs' comments dated May 9, 2011, the parties continue to disagree regarding the issue of analytic interference due to the continued presence of sulfides in the soils at the time of future confirmatory sampling. While this disagreement persists, plaintiffs believe that, at this point, the parties can agree to disagree.

Plaintiffs' position remains that the post-treatment sampling and analysis that is to be conducted in 2013 is the framework for any future determinations regarding hexavalent chromium concentrations at Site 79, including any re-delineation or attempt to satisfy the requirements of paragraph 61 of the Consent Decree. Moreover, plaintiffs believe that the Site 79 in-situ confirmatory sampling analytic process should be the same as that agreed to for Study Area 6 North. Therefore, since Honeywell did not incorporate plaintiffs' comments regarding sulfides into the Work Plan, if Honeywell conducts future sampling and analysis that we believe has resulted in invalid data, and Honeywell attempts to use that data to re-delineate the site or to satisfy the requirements of paragraph 61 of the Consent Decree, plaintiffs will object to such use of the data and either bring this issue before the Special Master, if the Special Master is appointed, or move the Court regarding it.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. If you have any questions, please contact Alicia Alcorn at 202-204-8471.

Sincerely, s/ Alicia C. Alcorn John Morris October 26, 2011 Page 2

> Bruce J. Terris Carolyn Smith Pravlik Alicia Clark Alcorn

Counsel for Plaintiffs

cc: Michael Daneker Jeremy Karpatkin Resa Drasin Joseph Karpa

APPENDIX B

Soil Boring Logs



Honeywell SA-5

PROJECT NO: 3480120313 DATE FINISHED: 8/19/14 DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push COMPLETION DEPTH: 9' bgs NORTH: 685672.64214500000 BORING ID: 079-SB-201 INSPECTOR: T. Giouzelis DRILL EQUIP: 7710 DT GW DEPTH: NE EAST: 604193.50308900000

ELEV (FT.)	DEPTH (FT.)	RUN NO.	SPT BLOWS PER 0.5'	REC (FT.)	PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	VOLATILE ORGANIC VAPORS (PPM)	REMARKS	
	0.0	_							
		Auger	NA	NA		0.0 - 2.0' Auger	NM		
	- 1.0 								
	- 2.0	S-1	NA	4.0		2.0 - 4.0' FILL: Brown fine to coarse SAND, trace brick, coal, and concrete			
	- 3.0							Samples 079-SB-201-0304 at 0830	
	- 4.0					4.0 - 6.0' FILL: Brown fine to coarse SAND, trace brick and coal		Samples 079-SB-201-0405 at 0832; DUP at 0835	
	- 5.0							Samples 079-SB-201-0506 at 0840	
	- 6.0 -	S-2	NA	3.0		6.0 - 9.0' FILL: Brown fine to coarse SAND; End of boring at 9.0' bgs		Samples 079-SB-201-0607 at 0845	
	- 7.0							Samples 079-SB-201-0708 at 0850	
	- 8.0							Samples 079-SB-201-0809 at 0855	
	9.0								
PREPARED BY: <u>KK</u> PAGE 1 OF 1 CHECKED BY: <u>JA</u>									



Honeywell SA-5

PROJECT NO: 3480120313 DATE FINISHED: 8/19/14 DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push COMPLETION DEPTH: 9' bgs NORTH: 685586.73871700000 BORING ID: 079-SB-204 INSPECTOR: T. Giouzelis DRILL EQUIP: 7710 DT GW DEPTH: 6' bgs EAST: 604142.42254400000

ELEV (FT.)	DEPTH (FT.)	RUN NO.	SPT BLOWS PER 0.5'	REC (FT.)	PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	VOLATILE ORGANIC VAPORS (PPM)	REMARKS		
	0.0	Auger	NA	NA			NM			
	-	lagor				0.0 - 2.0' Auger				
	- 1.0									
	- 2.0	S-1	NA	4.0		2.0 - 4.0' FILL: Reddish brown fine to coarse SAND				
	- 3.0							Samples 079-SB-204-0304 at 0730		
	- 4.0					4.0 - 5.0' FILL: WOOD		Samples 079-SB-204-0405 at 0735		
	— 5.0 -					5.0 - 6.0' FILL: Black fine to coarse SAND and SILT		Samples 079-SB-204-0506 at 0738		
	6.0	S-2	NA	3.0		6.0 - 9.0' FILL: Dark brown fine to coarse SAND; End of boring at 9.0' bgs		Samples 079-SB-204-0607 at 0740; DUP at 0744		
	- 7.0							Samples 079-SB-204-0708 at 0750		
	- 8.0							Samples 079-SB-204-0809 at 0755		
PREPARED BY: <u>KK</u> PAGE 1 OF 1 CHECKED BY: <u>JA</u>										



Honeywell SA-5

PROJECT NO: 3480120313 DATE FINISHED: 8/19/14 DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push COMPLETION DEPTH: 9' bgs NORTH: 685549.25514300000 BORING ID: 079-SB-205 INSPECTOR: T. Giouzelis DRILL EQUIP: 7710 DT GW DEPTH: 6' bgs EAST: 604056.96176300000

ELEV (FT.)	DEPTH (FT.)	RUN NO.	SPT BLOWS PER 0.5'	REC (FT.)	PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	VOLATILE ORGANIC VAPORS (PPM)	REMARKS		
	0.0									
		Auger	NA	NA		0.0 - 2.0' Auger	NM			
	- 1.0 									
	- 2.0	S-1	NA	3.5		2.0 - 6.0' FILL: Dark brown SANDY SILT, few gravel, trace coal ash; stiff, dry				
	- 3.0							Samples 079-SB-205-0304 at 0945		
	4.0							Samples 079-SB-205-0405 at 0947		
	- 5.0							Samples 079-SB-205-0506 at 0949		
	6.0	S-2	NA	3.0		6.0 - 7.5' FILL: Dark brown fine to coarse SAND, trace gravel and silt; loose, wet		Samples 079-SB-205-0607 at 0951		
	- 7.0							Samples 079-SB-205-0708 at 0953		
	- 8.0					7.5 - 9.0' FILL: Black SILTY CLAY; plastic, wet; End of boring at 9.0' bgs		Samples 079-SB-205-0809 at 0954		
PREPARED BY: <u>KK</u> PAGE 1 OF 1 CHECKED BY: <u>JA</u>										



Honeywell SA-5

PROJECT NO: 3480120313 DATE FINISHED: 8/21/14 DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push COMPLETION DEPTH: 9' bgs NORTH: 685574.34832400000 BORING ID: 079-SB-206 INSPECTOR: T. Giouzelis DRILL EQUIP: 7710 DT GW DEPTH: 6' bgs EAST: 604056.23649900000

ELEV (FT.)	DEPTH (FT.)	RUN NO.	SPT BLOWS PER 0.5'	REC (FT.)	PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	VOLATILE ORGANIC VAPORS (PPM)	REMARKS		
	0.0									
		Auger	NA	NA		0.0 - 3.0' Auger	NM			
	-									
	- 1.0									
	-									
	- 2.0									
	-									
	- 3.0	S-1	NA	3.0	\square	3.0 - 9.0' FILL: Black fine to coarse SAND,		Samples 079-SB-206-0304 at 0930		
	-					trace coal ash; End of boring at 9.0 bgs				
	- 4.0				\square			Samplas 070 SP 206 0405		
								at 1145		
	-				\square					
	- 5.0				$\square \square \square$			Samples 079-SB-206-0506		
	_				\square			at 1150		
	≮_ 6.0	S-2	NA	3.0				Samples 079-SB-206-0607 at 1200		
	-									
	- 7.0							Complete 070 CD 000 0700		
								at 1205		
	-									
	8.0							Samples 079-SB-206-0809		
	Ļ							at 1210		
PREPARED BY: KK PAGE 1 OF 1										
CHECKED BY: JA										



Honeywell SA-5

PROJECT NO: 3480120313 DATE FINISHED: 8/19/14 DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push COMPLETION DEPTH: 9' bgs NORTH: 685587.20507100000 BORING ID: 079-SB-207 INSPECTOR: K. Kacperowski DRILL EQUIP: 7710 DT GW DEPTH: 6' bgs EAST: 604073.49202100000

ELEV (FT.)	DEPTH (FT.)	RUN NO.	SPT BLOWS PER 0.5'	REC (FT.)	PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	VOLATILE ORGANIC VAPORS (PPM)	REMARKS		
	0.0									
		Auger	NA	NA		0.0 - 2.0' Auger	NM			
	- 1.0 -									
	— 2.0 -	S-1	NA	4.0		2.0 - 6.0' FILL: Dark brown SILTY SAND, some gravel; stiff, dry				
	- 3.0							Samples 079-SB-207-0304 at 0910		
	4.0							Samples 079-SB-207-0405 at 0912		
	— 5.0 -							Samples 079-SB-207-0506 at 0914		
	6.0	S-2	NA	3.0		6.0 - 9.0' FILL: Dark gray GRAVEL, trace sand; loose, wet; End of boring at 9.0' bgs		Samples 079-SB-207-0607 at 0916		
	7.0 							Samples 079-SB-207-0708 at 0918		
	- 8.0							Samples 079-SB-207-0809 at 0920		
PREPARED BY: <u>KK</u> PAGE 1 OF 1 CHECKED BY: <u>JA</u>										



Honeywell SA-5

PROJECT NO: 3480120313 DATE FINISHED: 8/21/14 DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push COMPLETION DEPTH: 9' bgs NORTH: 685630.21600800000 BORING ID: 079-SB-208 INSPECTOR: T. Giouzelis DRILL EQUIP: 7710 DT GW DEPTH: 6' bgs EAST: 604081.25635600000

ELEV (FT.)	DEPTH (FT.)	RUN NO.	SPT BLOWS PER 0.5'	REC (FT.)	PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	VOLATILE ORGANIC VAPORS (PPM)	REMARKS		
	0.0									
		Auger	NA	NA		0.0 - 3.0' Auger	NM			
	- 1.0									
	- 2.0									
	- 3.0	S-1	NA	3		3.0 - 4.0' FILL: Coal fragments, slag		Samples 079-SB-208-0304 at 1010		
	- 4.0					4.0 - 9.0' FILL: Black fine to coarse , trace coal, brick, and slag; End of boring at 9.0' bgs		Samples 079-SB-208-0405 at 1030		
	— 5.0 -							Samples 079-SB-208-0506 at 1035		
Ξ	- 6.0	S-2	NA	3				Samples 079-SB-208-0607 at 1040		
	- 7.0							Samples 079-SB-208-0708 at 1044		
	- 8.0							Samples 079-SB-208-0809 at 1050		
PREPARED BY: <u>KK</u> PAGE 1 OF 1 CHECKED BY: <u>JA</u>										



Honeywell SA-5

PROJECT NO: 3480120313 DATE FINISHED: 8/19/14 DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push COMPLETION DEPTH: 9' bgs NORTH: 685646.42791200000 BORING ID: 079-SB-209 INSPECTOR: K. Kacperowski DRILL EQUIP: 7710 DT GW DEPTH: 6' bgs EAST: 604101.37659000000

ELEV (FT.)	DEPTH (FT.)	RUN NO.	SPT BLOWS PER 0.5'	REC (FT.)	PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	VOLATILE ORGANIC VAPORS (PPM)	REMARKS		
	0.0	Auger	NA	NA			NM			
	-	lagor				0.0 - 2.0' Auger				
	— 1.0 -									
	- 2.0	S-1	NA	4		2.0 - 6.0' FILL: Dark brown fine to coarse SAND, trace gravel; stiff, dry	-			
	- 3.0							Samples 079-SB-209-0304 at 1110		
	4.0 							Samples 079-SB-209-0405 at 1111		
	— 5.0 -							Samples 079-SB-209-0506 at 1112		
	6.0	S-2	NA	3		6.0 - 8.0' FILL: Dark brown coarse SAND and GRAVEL; loose, wet	-	Samples 079-SB-209-0607 at 1113		
	- 7.0							Samples 079-SB-209-0708 at 1114		
	- 8.0					8.0 - 9.0' FILL: Balck CLAYEY SILT; plastic, moist; End of boring at 9.0' bgs		Samples 079-SB-209-0809 at 1115		
PREPARED BY: <u>KK</u> PAGE 1 OF 1 CHECKED BY: <u>JA</u>										



Honeywell SA-5

PROJECT NO: 3480120313 DATE FINISHED: 8/19/14 DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push COMPLETION DEPTH: 8.5' bgs NORTH: 685648.85171400000 BORING ID: 079-SB-210 INSPECTOR: K. Kacperowski DRILL EQUIP: 7710 DT GW DEPTH: 6' bgs EAST: 604120.95714600000

ELEV (FT.)	DEPTH (FT.)	RUN NO.	SPT BLOWS PER 0.5'	REC (FT.)	PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	VOLATILE ORGANIC VAPORS (PPM)	REMARKS		
	0.0	Auger	NA	NA			NM			
	-	lagor				0.0 - 2.0' Auger				
	- 1.0									
	- 2.0	S-1	NA	4		2.0 - 6.0' FILL: Reddish brown SANDY SILT, trace gravel; stiff, dry				
	- 3.0							Samples 079-SB-210-0304 at 1135		
	- 4.0							Samples 079-SB-210-0405 at 1136		
	- 5.0							Samples 079-SB-210-0506 at 1137		
	6.0	S-2	NA	2.5		6.0 - 8.5' FILL: Dark gray GRAVEL, some fine to coarse sand; loose, wet; End of boring at 8.5' bgs		Samples 079-SB-210-0607 at 1138		
	- 7.0							Samples 079-SB-210-0708 at 1139		
	- 8.0							Samples 079-SB-210-0809 at 1140		
PREPARED BY: <u>KK</u> CHECKED BY: <u>JA</u> PAGE 1 OF 1										



Honeywell SA-5

PROJECT NO: 3480120313 DATE FINISHED: 8/19/14 DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push COMPLETION DEPTH: 8.5' bgs NORTH: 685686.09749000000 BORING ID: 079-SB-211 INSPECTOR: K. Kacperowski DRILL EQUIP: 7710 DT GW DEPTH: 6' bgs EAST: 604130.03101900000

ELEV (FT.)	DEPTH (FT.)	RUN NO.	SPT BLOWS PER 0.5'	REC (FT.)	PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	VOLATILE ORGANIC VAPORS (PPM)	REMARKS		
	0.0	Auger	NA	NA		0.0 - 2.0' Auger	NM			
	- 1.0									
	- 2.0 -	S-1	NA	4.0		2.0 - 6.0' FILL: Dark brown SILTY SAND; stiff, dry				
	- 3.0							Samples 079-SB-211-0304 at 1156		
	4.0 							Samples 079-SB-211-0405 at 1157		
	- 5.0							Samples 079-SB-211-0506 at 1158		
	6.0	S-2	NA	2.5		6.0 - 8.5' FILL: Dark brown GRAVEL and SAND; loose, wet; End of boring at 8.5' bgs		Samples 079-SB-211-0607 at 1159		
	- 7.0							Samples 079-SB-211-0708 at 1200		
	- 8.0							Samples 079-SB-211-0809 at 1201		
9.0										
PREPARED BY: <u>KK</u> PAGE 1 OF 1 CHECKED BY: <u>JA</u>										



Honeywell SA-5

PROJECT NO: 3480120313 DATE FINISHED: 8/19/14 DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push COMPLETION DEPTH: 9' bgs NORTH: 685613.94072700000 BORING ID: 079-SB-213 INSPECTOR: K. Kacperowski DRILL EQUIP: 7710 DT GW DEPTH: 6' bgs EAST: 604093.67707100000

ELEV (FT.)	DEPTH (FT.)	RUN NO.	SPT BLOWS PER 0.5'	REC (FT.)	PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	VOLATILE ORGANIC VAPORS (PPM)	REMARKS		
	0.0	Auger	NA	NA			NM			
	_	Auger		NA.		0.0 - 2.0' Auger				
	- 1.0									
	— 2.0 -	S-1	NA	4.0		2.0 - 6.0' FILL: Dark brown SANDY SILT, few gravel; medium stiff, dry				
	3.0							Samples 079-SB-213-0304 at 1029		
	4.0 							Samples 079-SB-213-0405 at 1030		
	- 5.0							Samples 079-SB-213-0506 at 1031		
	-	S-2	NA	3.0		6.0 - 9.0' FILL: Black GRAVEL; loose, wet; End of boring at 9.0' bgs		Samples 079-SB-213-0607 at 1032		
	7.0							Samples 079-SB-213-0708 at 1033		
	- 8.0							Samples 079-SB-213-0809 at 1034		
PREPARED BY: <u>KK</u> PAGE 1 OF 1 CHECKED BY: <u>JA</u>										



Honeywell SA-5

PROJECT NO: 3480120313 DATE FINISHED: 8/19/14 DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push COMPLETION DEPTH: 9' bgs NORTH: 685704.37185600000 BORING ID: 079-SB-214 INSPECTOR: K. Kacperowski DRILL EQUIP: 7710 DT GW DEPTH: NE EAST: 604146.22682100000

ELEV (FT.)	DEPTH (FT.)	RUN NO.	SPT BLOWS PER 0.5'	REC (FT.)	PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	VOLATILE ORGANIC VAPORS (PPM)	REMARKS			
	0.0	Auger	NA	NA			NM				
	-					0.0 - 2.0' Auger					
	- 1.0										
	-										
	- 2.0	S-1	NA	4.0		2.0 - 4.5' FILL: Beddish brown SANDY SILT:					
	-					stiff, dry					
	- 3.0							Samples 079-SB-214-0304			
	-							at 1223			
	- 4.0							Samples 079-SB-214-0405			
	-					4.5 - 9.0' FILL: Dark brown/black CLAYEY		at 1224			
	- 5.0					SILT; plastic, moist; End of boring at 9.0' bgs		Samples 079-SB-214-0506 at 1225			
	-										
	- 6.0	S-2	NA	3.0				Samples 079-SB-214-0607 at 1226			
	-										
	- 7.0							Samples 079-SB-214-0708 at 1227			
	+										
	- 8.0							Samples 079-SB-214-0809 at 1228			
	└── 9.0	L	I				1	1			
PREPAR	ED BY: <u>F</u> D BY: JA	<u><k< u=""></k<></u>				PAGE 1 OF 1					
	CHECKED BY: JA										



Honeywell SA-5

PROJECT NO: 3480120313 DATE FINISHED: 8/18/14 DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push COMPLETION DEPTH: 9' bgs NORTH: 685602.04842000000 BORING ID: 079-SB-215 INSPECTOR: T. Giouzelis DRILL EQUIP: 7710 DT GW DEPTH: NE EAST: 604129.40224000000

ELEV (FT.)	DEPTH (FT.)	RUN NO.	SPT BLOWS PER 0.5'	REC (FT.)	PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	VOLATILE ORGANIC VAPORS (PPM)	REMARKS
	0.0	Auger	NA	NA			NM	
	-					0.0 - 2.0' Auger		
	- 1.0							
	- 2.0	S-1	NA	4.0		2.0 - 5.0' FILL: Reddish brown fine to coarse SAND		
	- 3.0							Samples 079-SB-215-0304 at 1115
	- 4.0							Samples 079-SB-215-0405 at 1120
	— 5.0 -					5.0 - 6.0' FILL: Black fine to coarse SILTY SAND		Samples 079-SB-215-0506 at 1125
	- 6.0	S-2	NA	3.0		6.0 - 9.0' FILL: Dark brown fine to coarse SAND; End of boring at 9.0' bgs		Samples 079-SB-215-0607 at 1128
	- 7.0							Samples 079-SB-215-0708 at 1130
	8.0							Samples 079-SB-215-0809 at 1133
	9.0							
PREPAR CHECKE	PREPARED BY: <u>KK</u> PAGE 1 OF 1 CHECKED BY: <u>JA</u>							



Honeywell SA-5

PROJECT NO: 3480120313 DATE FINISHED: 8/18/14 DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push COMPLETION DEPTH: 9' bgs NORTH: 685546.35153100000 BORING ID: 079-SB-216 INSPECTOR: T. Giouzelis DRILL EQUIP: 7710 DT GW DEPTH: 6' bgs EAST: 604086.87463300000

ELEV (FT.)	DEPTH (FT.)	RUN NO.	SPT BLOWS PER 0.5'	REC (FT.)	PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	VOLATILE ORGANIC VAPORS (PPM)	REMARKS	
	0.0								
		Auger	NA	NA		0.0 - 2.0' Auger	NM		
	- 1.0 -								
	— 2.0 -	S-1	NA	4.0		2.0 - 3.0' FILL: Reddish brown fine to coarse SAND	-		
	- 3.0					3.0 - 7.0' FILL: Black fine to coarse SILTY SAND	-	Samples 079-SB-216-0304 at 1150	
	4.0							Samples 079-SB-216-0405 at 1155	
	- 5.0							Samples 079-SB-216-0506 at 1200	
	6.0	S-2	NA	3.0				Samples 079-SB-216-0607 at 1205	
	- 7.0					7.0 - 9.0' FILL: Light brown fine to medium SAND; End of boring at 9.0' bgs	-	Samples 079-SB-216-0708 at 1210	
	- 8.0							Samples 079-SB-216-0809 at 1215	
	9.0								
PREPAR CHECKE	PREPARED BY: <u>KK</u> PAGE 1 OF 1 CHECKED BY: <u>JA</u>								



Honeywell SA-5

PROJECT NO: 3480120313 DATE FINISHED: 8/19/14 DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push COMPLETION DEPTH: 9' bgs NORTH: 685575.27075200000 BORING ID: 079-SB-217 INSPECTOR: K. Kacperowski DRILL EQUIP: 7710 DT GW DEPTH: NE EAST: 604149.30498800000

ELEV (FT.)	DEPTH (FT.)	RUN NO.	SPT BLOWS PER 0.5'	REC (FT.)	PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	VOLATILE ORGANIC VAPORS (PPM)	REMARKS	
	0.0								
		Auger	NA	NA		0.0 - 2.0' Auger			
	- 1.0								
	- 2.0	S-1	NA	4.0		2.0 - 4.0' FILL: Reddish brown SILT, trace gravel, clay,and sand; stiff, dry			
	- 3.0							Samples 079-SB-217-0304 at 0835	
	- 4.0					4.0 - 7.0' FILL: Dark brown SILT, few sand, trace clay and gravel; stiff, dry		Samples 079-SB-217-0405 at 0838	
	- 5.0							Samples 079-SB-217-0506 at 0840	
	- 6.0 -	S-2	NA	3.0				Samples 079-SB-217-0607 at 0842	
	7.0					7.0 - 9.0' FILL: Brown fine to medium SAND; medium stiff, moist; End of boring at 9.0' bgs		Samples 079-SB-217-0708 at 0845	
	- 8.0							Samples 079-SB-217-0809 at 0847	
	9.0								
PREPAR CHECKE	PREPARED BY: <u>KK</u> PAGE 1 OF 1 CHECKED BY: <u>JA</u>								



Honeywell SA-5

PROJECT NO: 3480120313 DATE FINISHED: 8/18/14 DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push COMPLETION DEPTH: 9' bgs NORTH: 685495.95105300000
 BORING ID:
 079-SB-218

 INSPECTOR:
 T. Giouzelis

 DRILL EQUIP:
 7710 DT

 GW DEPTH:
 6' bgs

 EAST:
 604079.10084900000

ELEV (FT.)	DEPTH (FT.)	RUN NO.	SPT BLOWS PER 0.5'	REC (FT.)	PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	VOLATILE ORGANIC VAPORS (PPM)	REMARKS
	0.0							
		Auger	NA	NA		0.0 - 2.0' Auger	NM	
	- 1.0 -							
	- 2.0	S-1	NA	4.0		2.0 - 3.0' FILL: CONCRETE		
	- 3.0					3.0 - 4.5' FILL: Reddish brown fine to coarse SAND		Samples 079-SB-218-0304 at 1245
	4.0							Samples 079-SB-218-0405 at 1248
	5.0					4.5 - 6.0' FILL: Black fine to medium SILT		Samples 079-SB-218-0506 at 1250
	6.0	S-2	NA	3.0		6.0 - 7.0' FILL: Black SILT		Samples 079-SB-218-0607 at 1255
	- 7.0					7.0 - 9.0' FILL: Dark brown fine to medium SAND; End of boring at 9'		Samples 079-SB-218-0708 at 1258
	8.0							Samples 079-SB-218-0809 at 1300
	9.0				∇^{\vee}			
PREPARI	ED BY: <u></u> D BY: <u>`></u>	<u>(K</u> A				PAGE 1 OF 1		



Honeywell SA-5

PROJECT NO: 3480120313 DATE FINISHED: 8/18/14 DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push COMPLETION DEPTH: 9' bgs NORTH: 685498.93611700000 BORING ID: 079-SB-219 INSPECTOR: T. Giouzelis DRILL EQUIP: 7710 DT GW DEPTH: NA EAST: 604049.10962000000

ELEV (FT.)	DEPTH (FT.)	RUN NO.	SPT BLOWS PER 0.5'	REC (FT.)	PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	VOLATILE ORGANIC VAPORS (PPM)	REMARKS
	0.0	Auger	NA	NA		0.0 - 2.0' Auger	NM	
	— 1.0							
	- 2.0 	S-1	NA	4.0		2.0 - 4.0' FILL: Reddish brown fine to medium SAND	-	
	- 3.0							Samples 079-SB-219-0304 at 1320; DUP at 1322
	- 4.0					4.0 - 6.0' FILL: Black fine to coarse SAND, trace ash/coal	-	Samples 079-SB-219-0405 at 1325
	- 5.0							Samples 079-SB-219-0506 at 1330
	- 6.0	S-2	NA	3.0		6.0 - 8.0' FILL: Yellowish brown fine to medium SANDY SILT	-	Samples 079-SB-219-0607 at 1333
	- 7.0							Samples 079-SB-219-0708 at 1335
	8.0					8.0 - 9.0' FILL: Dark brown fine to medium SAND; End of boring at 9.0' bgs		Samples 079-SB-219-0809 at 1340
	9.0							
PREPAR	ED BY: <u>F</u> D BY: <u>JA</u>	<u><k< u=""></k<></u>				PAGE 1 OF 1		



Honeywell SA-5

PROJECT NO: 3480120313 DATE FINISHED: 8/18/14 DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push COMPLETION DEPTH: 9' bgs NORTH: 685660.13776900000 BORING ID: 079-SB-220 INSPECTOR: T. Giouzelis DRILL EQUIP: 7710 DT GW DEPTH: 6' bgs EAST: 604151.70027500000

ELEV (FT.)	DEPTH (FT.)	RUN NO.	SPT BLOWS PER 0.5'	REC (FT.)	PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	VOLATILE ORGANIC VAPORS (PPM)	REMARKS
	0.0	Auger		NA			NM	
	_	lager		1.07.1		0.0 - 2.0' Auger		
	- 1.0							
	- 2.0	S-1	NA	4.0		2.0 - 5.0' FILL: Reddish brown fine to coarse SAND, trace coal and silt		
	3.0							Samples 079-SB-220-0304 at 1035
	- 4.0							Samples 079-SB-220-0405 at 1038
	- 5.0					5.0 - 6.0' FILL: Black fine to coarse SAND	-	Samples 079-SB-220-0506 at 1040
	6.0	S-2	NA	3.0		6.0 - 9.0' FILL: Dark brown fine to coarse	-	Samples 079-SB-220-0607
	-					SAND; End of boring at 9.0' bgs		at 1045
	- 7.0							Samples 079-SB-220-0708 at 1050
	- 8.0							Samples 079-SB-220-0809 at 1100; DUP at 1101
	9.0							
PREPAR CHECKE	ED BY: <u>F</u> D BY: <u>JA</u>	<u>KK</u>				PAGE 1 OF 1		



Honeywell SA-5

PROJECT NO: 3480120313 DATE FINISHED: 8/19/14 DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push COMPLETION DEPTH: 9' bgs NORTH: 685620.61983400000 BORING ID: 079-SB-221 INSPECTOR: K. Kacperowski DRILL EQUIP: 7710 DT GW DEPTH: NE EAST: 604151.00359900000

ELEV (FT.)	DEPTH (FT.)	RUN NO.	SPT BLOWS PER 0.5'	REC (FT.)	PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	VOLATILE ORGANIC VAPORS (PPM)	REMARKS	
	0.0								
		Auger	NA	NA		0.0 - 2.0' Auger	NM		
	- 1.0 								
	— 2.0 -	S-1	NA	4.0		2.0 - 4.0' FILL: Reddish brown SILT, trace gravel, clay, and sand; stiff, dry			
	- 3.0							Samples 079-SB-221-0304 at 0910	
	4.0					4.0 - 7.0' FILL: Dark reddish brown SILT, few sand, trace clay and gravel		Samples 079-SB-221-0405 at 0912	
	- 5.0							Samples 079-SB-221-0506 at 0915	
	- 6.0 -	S-2	NA	3.0				Samples 079-SB-221-0607 at 0917	
	- 7.0					7.0 - 9.0' FILL: Brown fine to medium SAND; medium stiff, moist; End of boring at 9.0' bgs		Samples 079-SB-221-0708 at 0920	
	8.0							Samples 079-SB-221-0809 at 0922	
	9.0								
PREPAR CHECKE	PREPARED BY: <u>KK</u> PAGE 1 OF 1 CHECKED BY: <u>JA</u>								



Honeywell SA-5

PROJECT NO: 3480120313 DATE FINISHED: 8/18/14 DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push COMPLETION DEPTH: 9' bgs NORTH: 685665.56787900000 BORING ID: 079-SB-222 INSPECTOR: T. Giouzelis DRILL EQUIP: 7710 DT GW DEPTH: NE EAST: 604194.99744600000

ELEV (FT.)	DEPTH (FT.)	RUN NO.	SPT BLOWS PER 0.5'	REC (FT.)	PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	VOLATILE ORGANIC VAPORS (PPM)	REMARKS
	0.0	Auger	NA	NA		0.0 - 2.0' Auger	NM	
	1.0							
	- 2.0	S-1	NA	4.0		2.0 - 4.0' FILL: Brown fine to coarse SAND, trace brick, coal, and wood		
	- 3.0							Samples 079-SB-222-0304 at 0915
	- 4.0					4.0 - 6.0' FILL: Black fine to coarse SAND, trace ash		Samples 079-SB-222-0405 at 0918
	— 5.0 -							Samples 079-SB-222-0506 at 0920; DUP at 0924
	- 6.0	S-2	NA	3.0		6.0 - 9.0' FILL: Brown fine to coarse SAND; End of boring at 9.0' bgs		Samples 079-SB-222-0607 at 0922
	7.0							Samples 079-SB-222-0708 at 0930
	- 8.0							Samples 079-SB-222-0809 at 0933
	9.0							
PREPAR	ED BY: <u>I</u> D BY: <u>JA</u>	<u><</u>				PAGE 1 OF 1		

APPENDIX C

Groundwater Sampling Field Logs



Job Name:	HW SA-5 Site 079

Grour	ndwater	Sampling	, Form			Job N	umber:							Well Num	ber:	079-	MW-00	1
							w	ELL PURG	ING INFO	RMATION								
PURGE V	OLUME							PURG	E METHO	D				PUM	P INTAI	KE SETTIN	G	
Low Flow M	ethod:	✓						Bai	ler - Type:	M	lonsoon				Near To	op 🗖		
3 to 5 Volum	ne Purge I	Method:						Sul	bmersible	7	Centr	ifugal 🗆			Cer	nter 🔽		
Number of V	Vell Volur	nes to be	Purged:					E	Bladder		Peris	taltic 🗆		Ne	ar Botto	m 🗆		
Well Type:	N	lonitor		Other				PURG	E VOLUM	E CALCUL	ATIONS							
Well Materia	al:	PVC 🔽	S	tainless Ste	el 🗆	Steel		(-) x		² X	x	##### =		Gallo	ns	
Casing Dian	neter (D ir	n Inches):		4					TD	WL	D	No.	Volumes		Calculate	d Purge Volum	ne	
Well Depth	(ft BTOC)	8.7 Purge Water Disposal: Drum Type Other																
Screen Inter	reen Interval in Feet (BTOC) from to Size																	
	INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION RECORD AND FIELD MEASUREMENTS																	
Instrument Type: Horiba U-52 Depth to Water: 4.51 Time: 11:08 Date: 7/24/2014																		
Serial Numb	ber:	21090)	Depth to B	ottom of \	Vell:	8.70			PID Readir	ng (inside	of Casing)	:	2	.1			
For Calibration	Information,	See Instrum	ent Calibra	ation Record S	heet Dated:		7/24/2014											
							FIEL	D PARAME	ETER ME	ASURMEN	TS]
Recorded F	By:	EI	ias Bave	eh		:	Sampled	By: E	Elias Bave	h	Pu	rge Start Ti	me:	11:11				
	(Sign	ature)		-				·				3						
	Rate	nH (S	311.)	Cond (n	ns/cm)	Turbidity	(NTUs)	Diss 0a	(ma/L)	Temp	(°C)	Salinity	(%)	Redox ((m\/)	Depth to	Water	
Time	Ipm	Peading	Change	Reading	Change	Reading	Change	Reading	(g, =)	Reading	(Change	Reading	Change	Peading	Change	(ft) Reading	Change	Comments
	I gpm	0.1 L	Jnit	3%	6	10%	6	10	%	3%	6	NA	Change	10 m	V	0.31	ft	
11:11	0.2	7.44	-	5.73	-	0.0	-	0.63	-	24.62	-	0.31	-	-200	-	4.57	-	
11:15	0.2	7.41	0.03	5.84	1.9%	0.0	0.0%	0.42	33.3%	23.85	3.1%	0.32	0.01	-203	3	4.59	0.02	
11:20	0.2	7.39	0.02	5.86	0.3%	0.0	0.0%	0.25	40.5%	23.42	1.8%	0.32	0.00	-189	14	4.60	0.01	
11:25	0.2	7.35	0.04	5.82	0.7%	0.0	0.0%	0.06	76.0%	23.09	1.4%	0.32	0.00	-203	14	4.61	0.01	
11:30	0.2	7.36	0.01	5.80	0.3%	0.0	0.0%	0.03	50.0%	23.20	0.5%	0.31	0.01	-206	3	4.62	0.01	
11:35	0.2	7.38	0.02	5.77	0.5%	0.0	0.0%	0.00	100.0%	23.29	0.4%	0.31	0.00	-209	3	4.63	0.01	
11.40	0.2	7.37	0.01	5.76	0.2%	0.0	0.0%	0.00	0.0%	23.25	0.2%	0.31	0.00	-209	0	4.66	0.03	
11:45	0.2	7 37	0.00	5.73	0.5%	0.0	0.0%	0.00	0.0%	23 29	0.2%	0.31	0.00	-211	2	4 68	0.02	
11.50	0.2	7 37	0.00	5.75	0.3%	0.0	0.0%	0.00	0.0%	23.28	0.0%	0.31	0.00	-212	-	4 68	0.00	
11:55	Sample		0.00	0.10	0.070	0.0	0.070	0.00	0.070	20.20	0.070	0.01	0.00			4.00	0.00	
	oampie																	
Note: > = Grea	ater Than <	= Less Tha	n NM = N	Not Measured	EF = Equip	ment Failure			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	1	1		1		1	LI

		OBSERVATIO	NS DURING WELL PURGING	
Total Volume Purged:		3 gallons	Odor:	None
Well Condition:		Good, rainwater around well	Other:	
Color of GW:	Clear			
Sample ID:		079-MW-001 @ 11.55	Sample ID:	



Job Name:	HW SA-5 Site 079
oob Name.	

Groundwater Sampling Form Job Number:										Well Number: 079-MW			MW-A0	2				
WELL PURGING INFORMATION																		
PURGE VOLUME PURGE METHOD PUMP INTAKE SETTING																		
Low Flow Method:							Bailer - Type: Monsoon						Near Top					
3 to 5 Volume Purge Method:							Submersible 🔽 Centrifugal							Center				
Number of Well Volumes to be Purged:							Bladder Peristaltic						Near Bottom					
Well Type: Monitor Other Other PURGE VOLUME CALCULATIONS																		
Well Material: PVC 🔽 Stainless Steel 🗆 Steel							(-) x ² x x					x	##### = Gallons					
Casing Diameter (D in Inches):										ne								
Well Depth (ft BTOC): 13.5 Purge Water Disposal: Drum Type Other																		
Screen Interval in Feet (BTOC) from to Size																		
INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION RECORD AND FIELD MEASUREMENTS																		
Instrument Type: Horiba II-52 Depth to Water: 4.37 Time: 9:24 Doto: 7/24/2014																		
Serial Number: 21090 Depth to Rottom of Well: 13.5 PID Reading (incide of Casing): NIM																		
Senai Number. <u>21090</u> Deptil to Bolioni of Well. <u>15.9</u> PID Reading (Inside of Casing): <u>INM</u>																		
For Calibration Information, see Instrument Calibration Record Sheet Dated: <u>7/24/2014</u>																		
FIELD PARAMETER MEASURMENTS																		
Recorded By: Dave Rosenthal Sampled By: Elias Bayeh Purge Start Time: 9:34 (Signature)																		
	Rate	<u>рЦ (8</u>	11)	Cond (r	na/om)	Turbidity		Disc O	(mg/L)	Tomp	(*C)	Solipity	(0/)	Bodov	(m)/)	Depth to	Water	
Time	Ipm	рп (S	.0.)	Cond. (ms/cm)		Turbidity (NTUS)		Diss. O ₂ (mg/L)		Temp (°C)		Salinity (%)		Redox (mV)		. (ft)		Comments
	gpm Reading Change R			Reading 3%	Reading Change Reading			Change Reading Change		Reading Change 3%		Reading Change		Reading Change 10 mV		Reading Change 0.3 ft		
9:35	0.2	6.85	-	4.74	-	192.0	-	0.08	-	22.96	-	0.25	-	-309	-	4.97	-	
9:40	0.2	6.88	0.03	4.77	0.6%	136.0	29.2%	0.12	50.0%	23.86	3.9%	0.26	0.01	-327	18	5.08	0.11	
9:45	0.2	6.92	0.04	4.86	1.9%	104.0	23.5%	0.05	58.3%	23.84	0.1%	0.26	0.00	-335	8	5.24	0.16	
9:50	0.2	6.95	0.03	4.96	2.1%	81.6	21.5%	0.03	40.0%	22.90	3.9%	0.27	0.01	-341	6	5.49	0.25	
9:55	0.2	7.00	0.05	5.14	3.6%	39.7	51.3%	0.02	33.3%	21.80	4.8%	0.28	0.01	-355	14	5.83	0.34	
10:00	0.2	7.02	0.02	5.21	1.4%	32.2	18.9%	0.00	100.0%	21.42	1.7%	0.28	0.00	-360	5	5.84	0.01	
10:05	0.2	7.03	0.01	5.24	0.6%	28.5	11.5%	0.00	0.0%	21.08	1.6%	0.28	0.00	-368	8	5.80	0.04	
10.10	0.2	7.08	0.05	5.21	0.6%	27.2	5%	0.00	0.0%	21.00	0.4%	0.28	0.00	-376	8	5.77	0.03	
10:15	0.2	7.05	0.03	5.23	0.4%	25.1	8%	0.00	0.0%	20.91	0.4%	0.28	0.00	-380	4	5.78	0.01	
10:10	0.2	7.06	0.01	5 21	0.4%	25.4	1%	0.00	0.0%	20.88	0.1%	0.28	0.00	-382	2	5 78	0.00	
10:20	0.2 Sample	7.00	0.01	5.21	0.478	23.4	170	0.00	0.078	20.00	0.178	0.20	0.00	-302	2	5.70	0.00	
10.25	Sample																	
Note: > = G	reater Than	< = Less Tha	an NM = 1	Not Measured	EF = Eauir	ment Failure	1		1	L,		1			I	1		
-			-		1-1													

		0	BSERVATIONS DURING WELL PURGING
Total Volume Purg	ed:	3 gallons	Odor:
Well Condition:		Poor no cap, dirt around well	Other:
Color of GW:	Clear		
Sample ID:		079-MW-A02 @ 10:25	Sample ID:

Sulfurous

079-MW-A02DP @ 10:30

APPENDIX D

Laboratory Analytical Data/Electronic Data Deliverables (Compact Disk)

APPENDIX E

Data Validation Reports (Compact Disk)